# EXHIBIT 2J

# EXHIBIT

J

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

### AT CHARLESTON

IN RE: ETHICON, INC. PELVIC REPAIR SYSTEM PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL NO. 2:12-MD-02327
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	
CAROLYN LEWIS	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:12-cv-04301
	February 13, 2014 Charleston, WV

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL - DAY 4
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOSEPH R. GOODWIN,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE, AND A JURY

Court Reporters: Teresa M. Ruffner, RPR

(304) 528-7583

terry\_ruffner@wvsd.uscourts.gov

Harold M. Hagopian, RDR-CRR

209 Drake Landing New Bern, NC 28560 hhagopian@aol.com

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

#### **APPEARANCES**

### FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

# THOMAS P. CARTMELL, ESQ.

Wagstaff & Cartmell Suite 300 4740 Grand Avenue Kansas City, MO 64112

# BENJAMIN H. ANDERSON, ESQ.

Anderson Law Offices 1360 W. 9th Street Suite 215 Cleveland, OH 44113

# RICHARD A. FREESE, ESQ.

Freese & Goss Regions Harbert Plaza, Suite 3120 1901 6th Avenue North Birmingham, AL 35203

## CARL N. FRANKOVITCH, ESQ.

Frankovitch Anetakis Colantonio & Simon 337 Penco Road Weirton, WV 26062

## FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

## CHRISTY D. JONES, ESQ.

Butler Snow
P. O. Box 6010
Ridgeland, MS 39158-6010

# DAVID B. THOMAS, ESQ. PHILIP J. COMBS, ESQ.

SUSAN ROBINSON, ESQ.

Thomas Combs & Spann
P. O. Box 3824
Charleston, WV 23558-3824

I N D E X Page Plaintiff's Witnesses JAMES HART (by video) MENG CHEN (by video) GENE KAMMERER (by video) JOERG HOLSTE (by video) UWE KLINGE Direct Examination Cross Examination Redirect Examination 

MORNING SESSION

(Out of the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Okay. Let me first put on the record rulings on the spoliation issues. In her February 4, 2014 order, Judge Eifert thoroughly examined the evidence of spoliation in this multi-district litigation bellwether -- in this multi-district litigation. She determined that the evidence did not warrant default judgment or adverse inferences in all cases. However, she recommended that I allow the plaintiff the opportunity to introduce evidence regarding Ethicon's loss of relevant documents on a case-by-case basis and, when appropriate, to tender an adverse inference instruction.

I have considered the parties' written and oral arguments. The fact is that Ethicon's duty to preserve documents was not, and I find it was not, triggered until April 30, 2007. The plaintiff's evidence pre-dates this duty. Judge Eifert specifically found that, quote, The MedScand materials were lost or discarded in 2006 before Ethicon had a duty to preserve evidence relevant to this MDL. Accordingly, the missing MedScand documents do not support a finding of spoliation. Unquote.

In any event, I have already allowed the plaintiffs to introduce evidence that Miss Angelini's documents were lost or destroyed, but beyond that, I will not permit the plaintiff to

introduce any further evidence on the issue of spoliation, 1 including the playing of Mr. Mittenthal's deposition which 2 raised no new issues beyond an attempt by -- an apparent 3 attempt by plaintiffs to plow old ground. 4 5 Show the plaintiff's objection. Did you have something that you wanted to put on the 6 7 record? 8 MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. If I --9 THE COURT: We may have a long day to put stuff on 10 the record. I don't know. 11 MS. JONES: Well, I'm going to do this really 12 quickly because we have agreed. The plaintiffs know we have 13 some objections to the depositions that we worked diligently 14 with on, Your Honor, and with a smile, and we have -- we need 15 to make these objections to preserve the record. Your Honor rules, we've got one cut that puts the stuff in and one cut 16 17 that takes it out. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MS. JONES: The first is --20 THE COURT: Do I need a transcript? 21 MS. JONES: I don't think so, Your Honor. If you 22 do, we have them. The first is that we object to the 23 introduction of medical device reports and reports of patients 24 that have come in, other than Mrs. Lewis in this case, on the

grounds that those medical device reports are hearsay,

25

unreliable, and not substantially similar to Mrs. Lewis's 1 complaints. 2 And the testimony that relates to this is in the 3 testimony of Ms. Chen, and specifically it is that testimony 4 related to Plaintiff's Exhibit 700, the testimony of 5 Mr. Kammerer, and it's the testimony that relates to 6 7 Plaintiff's Exhibits 536 and 545, which are, in fact, the 8 issue reports that are the subject of the testimony. 9 So that's the first objection with respect --10 THE COURT: Can I see it? 11 MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: May I? I think I can. 13 MS. JONES: This is the -- that's the one with 14 respect to Miss Chen. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Cartmell, do you want to address 16 that? 17 MR. CARTMELL: Yes. With respect to the Chen --18 (Remarks off the record) 19 MR. CARTMELL: We think, Your Honor, you just looked 20 at the issue report from Dr. Kammerer's deposition, and that 21 is, what happens is there are calls from physicians and 22 patients outside the company. They go into a database called 23 Remetrex. They're tracked within the company. And Kammerer 24 is an engineer. He testified that the frayed edges of the 25 mesh are not a problem. He doesn't believe they can cause any clinical issues with women.

Those documents are related to the frayed edges and the fact that they can cause clinical issues in women, pain and other issues. Part of our case is that the frayed edges and the particle loss and the roping and curling and all that stuff do in fact cause harm to women, their -- there is clinical benefit, the defense is, that there is not. And so those are relevant to our case related to notice for the company, related to the negligence case that the company had knowledge related to the defect with the mechanically cut mesh and did not do anything to change or alter the mesh or do a study, which he will testify to, Mr. Kammerer will testify to, related to that.

So we think it's highly probative that they did that, especially given that their defense is that none of this does anything; it's just cosmetic.

MS. JONES: And, Your Honor, with all due respect to what Mr. Cartmell says, it doesn't overcome the hearsay and unreliability issues with respect to the report. If it is to be offered for the issue of notice only, we would ask for an instruction to that effect.

THE COURT: Is this -- I don't quite understand how this is presented to the witness and how it is in the, I quess, the videotape deposition.

MR. CARTMELL: Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Could you explain that for me? MR. CARTMELL: Yes. There is a -- I'm trying to remember the order, but I think it's, "Have you ever heard that the frayed edges can cause pain or tissue problems or damage or clinical issues in women?" And I think he says, "Yes." I will check that to make sure, but then there is a production of the issue report and then there is, "Mr. Kammerer, had you ever heard that the frayed edges of the mesh while you were the lead engineer, technical engineer could perforate a woman's vagina as is stated in the issue report?" THE COURT: And he says what? MR. CARTMELL: I think he says, "That's impossible." THE COURT: He says what? MR. CARTMELL: He says, "That's impossible. Ιt doesn't happen." THE COURT: Okay. And then is he confronted with this at that point? MR. CARTMELL: He's confronted with it before, and then I said, "Were you ever told," and he says -- he says, "I don't" -- I think he says, "I wasn't, but it's impossible that that was the frayed edges." MS. JONES: I can hand you, Your Honor, the testimony if it would help. It's at pages 167 through 1 -actually, it goes through the two issue reports, 167 through

roughly 177 of his testimony, if you'd like to just see that. 1 2 THE COURT: These are business records of Ethicon, 3 is that correct --4 MS. JONES: Yes, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: -- that were furnished, and they're used to confront an Ethicon employee? 6 7 MR. CARTMELL: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And I will allow it and overrule the 8 9 objection. I will give a limiting instruction that these 10 documents are only -- well, I don't see that the documents 11 come in, but the questions and answer related to the documents 12 may come in, and I will tell the jury that Exhibit Number 536 13 and 545 were offered for the purpose of showing notice. Of 14 course, if you want to re-word it, I'll consider some other 15 wording. 16 MR. CARTMELL: I'm fine with that, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else? 18 MS. JONES: Well, those documents specifically 19 related to Mr. Kammerer -- excuse me -- to Mr. Kammerer, 20 Judge. You also have another exhibit that was -- I think 21 Robin handed you another exhibit. It's the same issue. It's 22 with respect to Miss Chen. And I apologize, Your Honor. 23 You've got the exhibit, so I can't see what the exhibit number 24 is, but it's an issue report and an analysis of a report by 25 the plaintiff.

1 THE COURT: Number 700; is that right? 2 MS. JONES: Yes. 3 THE COURT: I haven't read the testimony, but is it used in a similar fashion? 4 5 MR. CARTMELL: Yeah, this is a little different, Your Honor, but this was Dr. Chen's, who was in charge of 6 7 monitoring the adverse events, and she went and did a review 8 of all the adverse events for her superiors. One thing she 9 looked at is the long-term complications. Am I on the wrong 10 one? 11 MS. JONES: It's just this one over here. 12 MR. CARTMELL: I apologize. 13 MS. JONES: It's just the -- we have worked the 14 other part out that Mr. Cartmell was talking about. We 15 redacted it. This is only on the issue of the adverse event 16 report, and this is the one where the plaintiff underwent a 17 pelvic floor repair procedure nearly four years ago to treat a 18 cystocele of the bladder, and this is the analysis that Dr. 19 Chen performs with respect to that adverse event that's 20 reported to the company. 21 MR. CARTMELL: That's right. Sorry. That is a 22 different, different adverse event report. It is a single --23 same argument, Your Honor, just a different document. 24 THE COURT: In this event, it is an analysis of a 25 report of an adverse event by an employee at Ethicon reacting

```
to that adverse report; is that right?
 1
 2
               MS. JONES: Essentially, Your Honor, yes.
 3
                THE COURT: This talks about mesh of unknown origin.
     What does that mean?
 4
 5
               MR. CARTMELL: Well, I don't know what that means,
     but it is actually the Prolene mesh in the TVT that is an
 6
 7
     adverse event is my understanding from that.
 8
                THE COURT: I don't see that.
 9
               MR. CARTMELL: A Gynecare TVT abdominal sling was
10
     placed during the procedure. That's the actual sling that was
11
     used and caused the adverse event. I do see where you are
12
      saying a device of unknown origin, but I don't think it's
13
     disputed that it was the TVT mesh.
14
               MS. JONES: Well, I think that goes, frankly, to the
15
     unreliability of the report and the hearsay objection that
16
     we've made.
17
                THE COURT: Is this lady here? Is she testifying?
18
               MR. CARTMELL:
                              This is her. This is Dr. Chen.
19
               MS. JONES: This is Dr. Chen.
20
                THE COURT: And she is testifying --
21
               MR. CARTMELL: About this.
22
                THE COURT: -- about this is in a videotaped
23
     deposition.
24
               MR. CARTMELL: Yes, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: And this is a document -- forgive my
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is this part of her testimony or is this a record that she made in reaction to an adverse event about which she is asked to testify? The latter, what you just said. MR. CARTMELL: THE COURT: It seems to me that the entirety of this delves into areas that are not relevant, but I don't know how it's being used, so I guess I'm going to have to look at the transcript. MR. CARTMELL: Look at the transcript? I think we can --MS. JONES: I have the transcript right here. trying to find exactly where that -- do you have a page on this? THE COURT: In the very conclusion, she says she can't confirm the origin of the mesh which extruded into the bladder, and previously she says, "Our mesh can't do that unless the bladder has been injured" or something like that. I just need to see the testimony. MS. JONES: I'm trying to find if we have a --THE COURT: All of the jurors are here. THE CLERK: Yes. MS. JONES: I think it starts where it says Exhibit 3324. It's back toward the back. THE COURT: This is talking about two pictures and not about this exhibit.

1 MS. JONES: I may have given you the wrong exhibit 2 number. THE COURT: The exhibit number I have is 700 on this 3 page. Let me give you both documents back. 4 5 MR. CARTMELL: Your Honor, it starts at page 310, line 10. 6 7 THE COURT: Does it relate to Exhibit 700? MR. CARTMELL: Yeah. That was a trial exhibit and 8 9 we were telling you the deposition exhibit. Sorry about that. 10 THE COURT: All right. Starts at page what? 11 MS. JONES: 310. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MS. JONES: It's about three-fourths of the way 14 down. 15 THE COURT: Just so I'm not overruling on the wrong thing, the exhibit which is marked 700 that you showed me is 16 17 Exhibit 3333? 18 MR. CARTMELL: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: The -- it seems to me that I will admit 20 the examination. The exhibit appears to say things 21 completely -- that contradict the characterization of the 22 exhibit and examination, but that's appropriate for cross or 23 examination, but I will allow it. 24 MS. JONES: Your Honor, may I just quickly make two 25 other points? We have agreed with respect to the various

1 transcripts that are ready to go. A couple of things I want 2 to make clear for the record that we discussed with plaintiff's counsel --3 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MS. JONES: -- we have not insisted upon the 6 exclusion and redaction of every complication that the 7 plaintiff did not suffer from in this case with the 8 understanding that we will in fact ask Your Honor at an 9 appropriate point to instruct the jury that their verdict 10 should be premised upon the injuries that the plaintiff 11 actually had. And we just don't want to waive anything by 12 bringing it up. 13 THE COURT: Is that agreeable? 14 MR. CARTMELL: We understand that they're going to 15 make that request. 16 THE COURT: Right. 17 MR. CARTMELL: Our -- that's agreeable. Our -- for the record, although our position is obviously we think all of 18 19 the risks need to be weighed against all of the benefits. 20 THE COURT: I understand. All right. That's very 21 good, and I really appreciate the obvious hard work that went 22 into this. 23 MS. JONES: I need 15 more seconds, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Sure. 25 MS. JONES: There are also cumulative designations

in here. We've advised counsel that we think that they're cumulative. We've not insisted that they be taken out, but they come out of their time. And if they choose to play the cumulative stuff, it's at the peril that we will object in the context of their live witnesses. That's one.

And, finally, Your Honor, and this has nothing to do with the plaintiff's counsel. It does have to do with me. We have made these agreements and stipulations with respect to both of the exhibits and the deposition cuts with respect to this case and this case alone.

THE COURT: Understood.

MS. JONES: I want it on the record that we are not making these agreements or these cuts for the remainder of the MDL or for any other state court litigation. This is very specifically under the circumstances of this case.

THE COURT: I understand, and I certainly agree that under the trial pressures and at the request of the Court, you have made agreements which are for the purposes of this trial only and do not bind either party to the agreements made in future endeavors.

I will be proposing something along the lines of what I suggested yesterday, and the parties may agree to a modification, agree to some other procedure. I'm not trying to rule with an iron hand. I just want to avoid the same hassle that we had today -- or not today, this week.

1 MS. JONES: What I suggest, Your Honor, is that 2 Mr. Cartmell and I will be happy to sit down with you informally and work something out. 3 4 THE COURT: That sounds good. 5 MS. JONES: I think we have both learned. We now know what the problems have been, and neither one of us takes 6 7 responsibility for them, but we all understand that we all 8 bear a part of that. But I think we know of ways that this 9 can be remedied and we don't fool with it again. 10 THE COURT: All right. I'll look forward to that. 11 Let's bring the jury in. 12 MR. CARTMELL: Thank you. 13 MR. FREESE: Your Honor --14 THE COURT: Yes, sir? 15 MR. FREESE: -- before we bring the jury in, Robin just gave me the current exhibit list, and it doesn't appear 16 17 that we offered the Angelini exhibits at the deposition 18 yesterday. I was wondering if we could take care of that now 19 before we bring the jury in. I know Your Honor wants to keep 20 everything falling smoothly. 21 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 22 (Counsel conferred privately off the record) 23 THE COURT: I'm going to consider you do this at the 24 morning break. I've told the jury that I would be singing and 25 dancing at 9:00. Bring the jury in. It may have appeared to

you all -- and if it hasn't, please understand I hate 1 last-minute stuff. 2 3 (Jury In) THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, to 4 5 this lovely sunny morning in Charleston, West Virginia. Please be seated. I realize it's ten after and you've missed 6 7 my singing and dancing. 8 We are ready to proceed, and I think things will go very 9 smoothly today. 10 Mr. Cartmell, do you want to call your next witness? 11 MR. CARTMELL: Yes, Your Honor. Dr. James Hart, 12 chief medical officer at Ethicon. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 MS. JONES: Your Honor, we've exchanged -- we just 15 found that there was a mistake in -- this has been uploaded 16 and added. We're trying to -- we are remedying that. We're 17 going to go ahead and play it, but it's something the 18 plaintiff's counsel may stop it. It's just a technological 19 mistake. 20 THE COURT: If you need to stop it to fix something, 21 go ahead and do that. 22 MR. FREESE: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 (The video of James Hart was played.) 24 MS. JONES: I'm sorry, Your Honor. We've got a 25 glitch here. We've got something that was not part of this, I

1 think. 2 MR. FREESE: I was asking that he --3 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I can't hear you. MR. FREESE: I was merely asking that he expand the 4 5 document so we can see. THE COURT: Well, work out whatever the problem is 6 7 before you show anything else. 8 (Counsel conferred privately off the record) 9 (The video of James Hart was resumed) 10 MR. FREESE: Your Honor, we can end our examination 11 here and go to 810 and play the examination by the defendant. 12 THE COURT: Is that correct? 13 MS. JONES: I'm sorry? 14 THE COURT: Take it down. 15 (Counsel conferred privately off the record) 16 MR. FREESE: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 MS. JONES: We just need the cuts. He's the lead part of his thing. We just need to start on the direct 18 19 examination. 20 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, back 21 to basics. When we have a live witness, the plaintiff, having 22 the burden of proof, puts on the witness, asks some questions, 23 stops, defendants cross-examine. Then plaintiffs can come 24 back and redirect in any areas that were contained in the 25 cross-examination. Where we are with this witness is they've

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
decided that this is -- the plaintiffs have decided this is
the end of his direct examination. Now the defendants will
move into playing the part which is the cross-examination of
this witness.
     It's a little more confusing than that, but because the
parties -- but there you go.
         MS. JONES: Technologically we're ready to go, Your
Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
     (The video of James Hart was resumed.)
         MR. CARTMELL: I'm in agreement the objections will
be taken out on both sides.
          THE COURT: I've noticed that since you all started
playing videotapes. They should have all been taken out.
         MS. JONES: Should have been, and we apologize, Your
Honor.
         THE COURT: Well, it's happened in the ones they
did, too, so I'm not pointing fingers at anybody. Do your
best. Let's go.
     (The video of James Hart was resumed.)
         MR. COMBS: That concludes the examination.
         MR. CARTMELL: That's the end of the videotape, Your
Honor.
          THE COURT: All right. Instead of getting into the
next videotape, let's take our morning break a little early.
```

We'll take 15 minutes. During your break, enjoy a doughnut. You need it for warmth. Don't discuss the case among yourselves, permit anyone to discuss it with you or in your presence. Don't use any social media or electronic means to communicate with anyone in any form about anything in this case. Court will be in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess) 

```
(In open Court following the recess.
1
                                                 The jury is
 2
    not present.)
 3
               THE COURT: I understand we have a matter to
 4
    take up?
 5
               MR. CARTMELL: And, Judge, if you wouldn't mind
 6
    if we could do it at sidebar, that would be best.
 7
               THE COURT:
                            Sure.
    SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:
 8
 9
               THE COURT: All right.
               MR. CARTMELL: Your Honor, if you didn't -- you
10
11
    probably did notice in that video there was Dr. Hart's
    background with the company three separate times, and our
12
13
    video designations would have been one quick cut off that
14
    for a matter of a minute or two.
               THE COURT: It's too late to talk about the
15
    time division on that. It was played. It doesn't
16
17
    matter --
18
               MR. CARTMELL: I just wanted to make a record.
19
    That was my impression, that that time -- these things are
20
    being inserted, we feel, to make us look bad.
                                                    The jury is
21
    hearing the man being introduced the same way three
    different times. Our understanding is that your ruling is
22
23
    that this can be contextual and they can add that into the
24
    depositions.
                  I believe that it is not.
25
                            I didn't rule on that at all.
               THE COURT:
```

```
never did rule on that. I didn't rule on anything in this
 1
 2
    deposition.
               MR. CARTMELL: Well, I guess I understand that.
 3
    My understanding has been that the rulings from you all
 4
 5
    along have been, I guess, that they're going to be able to
 6
    play their portions of the depositions, and that's the way
    we've been operating, as we've been meeting and conferring
 7
    together. So we are letting them get back into things
 8
 9
    that we would not -- it's duplicative.
10
           I know you cannot stand duplicative testimony.
11
    just wanted to make sure that that is in the record.
               THE COURT: Mr. Freese asked the same question
12
13
    eight times, eight separate times, the same question.
14
    That wasn't objected to. I didn't rule on it.
15
           I did notice that we went over some of the same
16
    positions that the medical director had three times.
           I'm not excited about any of that. I don't like it.
17
18
    But whoever played it, it's going to be their time.
19
               MR. CARTMELL:
                              Okay. Could we get
20
    clarification, then, for future videos, potentially?
21
               THE COURT:
                           Uh-huh.
22
                              That if they add in duplicative
               MR. CARTMELL:
23
    stuff, we'll get a chance to visit --
24
                           Whenever they play their section of
               THE COURT:
25
    the video, that will take up their time. Whenever you
```

play your section, that's your time. 1 2 MR. CARTMELL: And if they add in, like, their 3 multiple minutes of their testimony on our side when we're 4 asking questions, can we get relief and that can be 5 charged to them? 6 THE COURT: You mean when they're crossexamining the witness? 7 When we are cross-examining the 8 MR. CARTMELL: 9 witness like that, and my question would have been, with Dr. Hart, tell us your history with the company, and it 10 11 would have been one time. But then, when they add in another time and a third time, can they be credited with 12 13 that, or can they not do that? Can we get a ruling from 14 the Court that if it's duplicative, that that cannot come into the video? 15 16 THE COURT: I didn't notice it -- I did not see anything in this video that was of sufficient length to 17 18 warrant a redo or a ruling on it. If, in the future, you 19 have a problem with that, and you can't resolve it before you get here, then let's get out the transcript and go by 20 21 the transcript. 22 Okay. MR. CARTMELL: 23 THE COURT: And I have never said that I 24 wouldn't rule on it. I am not a video editor, and I'm not 25 going to stop and edit videos. But I certainly will take

```
the transcript, let you put a reader on the stand, I will
1
 2
    hear objections to the extent they're made.
 3
          Now, if it was taken for the purpose of trial, the
 4
    objection should have been made at the time it was done.
 5
               MR. CARTMELL:
                               Right.
 6
               THE COURT: I don't understand this.
    never experienced this with experienced counsel. I'm
 7
    surprised. Every minute of yesterday was a full day and
 8
 9
    somebody's credited with it. Today's a full day and
    somebody's going to be credited with it. In the end of
10
11
    nine days, the case is going to be over. Spend it however
12
    you like.
13
           I'm not happy with you, but we'll do the best we
14
    can.
           (Court conferring with Law Clerk off the record.)
15
16
           To the extent that the defendants have designated
    parts of your examination, if that's what you're saying --
17
18
               MR. CARTMELL:
                              That is. I didn't make it
19
    clear, I quess. It's our questioning.
20
                           Then that goes against their time.
               THE COURT:
21
    If they designated it, it's theirs. Okay?
22
               MR. CARTMELL:
                              That's what I was asking.
23
                           We have been operating under that
               MR. COMBS:
24
    assumption the entire time.
                           Okay. Well, inform the highly
25
               THE COURT:
```

```
qualified time-keepers that that's the deal, and my -- I
1
 2
    will go up and finish another couple sips of coffee and I
 3
    will be a lot nicer the next time you talk to me.
 4
               MR. CARTMELL: Thank you, Judge.
 5
    END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
 6
               MR. COMBS: Maybe just before the you bring the
    jury in --
 7
 8
               THE COURT: Sure.
 9
               MR. COMBS: -- we could just spend a second on
    the record about the exhibits.
10
11
                            Sure. Go ahead.
               THE COURT:
               MR. COMBS: The next witness that's going to be
12
13
    played by video, it's my understanding, is Dr. Chen.
14
    night we did meet and confer regarding the exhibits that
15
    were going to be used in Dr. Chen's deposition.
16
    parties have agreed to a redact.
           On 690 the parties have agreed to a redact. On 686.
17
18
    On Exhibit 176 there was no objection. The defendant's
    obviously objected to 700, and the Court ruled that it was
19
20
    admissible. So, I think that covers all the exhibits.
21
               MR. CARTMELL: I agree.
               THE COURT: All right. You may proceed.
22
23
           It's time to bring the jury in.
24
           (The jury entered.)
25
               THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
```

```
1
    Please be seated.
 2
           Call your next witness, please.
                MR. CARTMELL: Your Honor, plaintiff calls
 3
    Dr. Meng Chen, associate medical director at Ethicon.
 4
 5
           (The videotaped deposition of Meng Chen was played.)
 6
                MR. CARTMELL: Your Honor, that's the end of
    the plaintiff's presentation of Dr. Chen.
 7
                THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
                MR. COMBS: Judge, there's very brief
    questioning that's being cued up now.
10
11
               MS. JONES:
                            Judge, there's a very brief
    questioning on behalf of the defendant.
12
13
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
14
                (Videotaped deposition of Dr. Chen continues.)
               MR. COMBS:
                            Judge, that ends the questioning
15
16
    from both parties regarding this witness.
17
                THE COURT:
                            That concludes this witness?
18
               MR. CARTMELL: Yes, your Honor.
19
                THE COURT: All right. Thank you, very much.
20
           Call your next witness.
21
                MR. CARTMELL: Dr. --excuse me, Gene Kammerer,
22
    who is an engineer at Ethicon, by video.
23
                THE COURT: All right.
24
               MR. COMBS: Judge, could we just approach very
25
    briefly?
```

```
1
                THE COURT:
                            Sure.
 2
    SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:
 3
               MR. CARTMELL: I may have misunderstood you
 4
    this morning, your Honor. Phil just came over and said
 5
    the issue reports that were argued this morning were out
 6
    as far as being able to be shown. I guess I had -- I had
    thought that your ruling was that they could be shown, but
 7
    that you were going to give an instruction to the jury.
 8
 9
               MR. COMBS: Judge --
               THE COURT: My ruling was that you could ask
10
11
    her about those documents --
12
               MR. CARTMELL:
                               Okay.
13
                THE COURT: -- in testimony, and I would then
14
    instruct the jury that they should consider these
15
    documents for the purpose of notice to the company of such
16
    an event.
17
               MR. CARTMELL:
                               Right.
18
               THE COURT: Or words to that effect.
19
               MR. CARTMELL:
                               Okay.
20
               THE COURT: But I don't know how it is on the
21
    video, so --
22
                               They just show the highlighted
               MR. CARTMELL:
23
    portions of what is being talked about up on the screen.
24
    There's nothing but that.
25
                THE COURT: I'm going to let you do it. Let's
```

1 go. 2 MR. CARTMELL: Thank you. 3 END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE. (Video deposition of Gene Kammerer played.) 4 5 MR. CARTMELL: Your Honor, this is a good 6 breaking point if we want to have a lunch break. All right, ladies and gentlemen, 7 THE COURT: before we take lunch, during an earlier part of this 8 9 witness' testimony he was shown a couple of issue reports 10 which he said he wasn't familiar with, but you may 11 consider those issue reports and the portions that were 12 pointed out to you as evidence that Ethicon had notice of 13 the substance contained in the reports. But you may not 14 consider them for any other purpose, that is, you may not consider them to be evidence of the truth of the matters 15 16 reported. Now, it's time for lunch, slip-sliding away across 17 18 the street. I asked the Clerk of Court about buying your 19 lunch, and apparently Uncle Sam is too tight to do that. 20 So, I'm going to -- it's ten after. We'll try to start at 21 If you can't comfortably get across and get back by 22 1:15, I'll understand, but we'll do our best. 23 Don't discuss the case among yourselves, permit 24 anyone to discussion with your or in your presence. 25 read, watch, or listen to anything about it. Don't use

```
any electronic media of any kind.
1
 2.
           I'll see you back here at 1:15.
           Court stands in recess.
 3
 4
           I want to ask you, and we can talk it over during
 5
    lunch -- looks like it's going to snow tomorrow, too, or
 6
    more. I can have somebody run and get your lunch
    tomorrow, if you pay for it. So, talk among yourselves if
 7
    you want me to do that.
 8
 9
           I'll see you back here at 1:15.
10
           (Luncheon recess.)
11
           (During the luncheon recess Mr. Faes for the
    plaintiffs, Mr. Combs for the defendant, the Clerk and the
12
13
    Court Reporter participated in the following bench
14
    conference outside the presence of the Court and jury.)
                           These are all from the Laura
15
                MR. FAES:
16
    Angelini deposition.
                          The first exhibit is Plaintiffs'
17
    1963, which is the March 5th, 1997 Medscand agreement.
18
                MR. COMBS:
                            No objection.
                           The second exhibit is Plaintiffs'
19
                MR. FAES:
20
    1965, which is the asset purchase agreement dated November
    15th, 1999.
21
22
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs'
23
                MR. FAES:
24
    1966, which is an exhibit titled Payments to Medscand.
25
                            No objection.
                MR. COMBS:
```

```
1
               MR. FAES:
                           Next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 1971,
    titled Payments to Ulmsten as Consultant.
 2
 3
               MR. COMBS:
                           No objection.
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs'
 4
               MR. FAES:
 5
    1970, which is a spreadsheet of payments to Prof. Nilsson.
 6
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
 7
               MR. FAES:
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs'
    1971, which is titled Payments to Ulmsten as Consultant.
 8
 9
               THE CLERK: You've already got that.
10
               MR. COMBS: We've already got that.
11
               MR. FAES:
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 858,
12
    which is an email, Subject: ICS Submission.
13
               MR. COMBS:
                            No objection.
14
           Plaintiff's Exhibit 1885 is a learned treatise that
15
    was displayed on the video with the witness.
16
               THE CLERK: I'll hold on to it and then give it
17
    back.
               MR. COMBS: Thank you.
18
19
               MR. FAES:
                          Did I give you 858?
20
               THE CLERK: Yes, you did.
21
               MR. FAES:
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 93,
22
    which is a July 30th, 1998, Summary of Key Points.
23
               THE CLERK: That was 93, correct.
24
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
25
               MR. FAES:
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 669,
```

```
1
    which is titled The History of TVT.
 2
               MR. COMBS:
                            No objection.
 3
               MR. FAES:
                          And that's the end of the Laura
 4
    Angelini exhibits.
 5
                THE CLERK: Okay.
 6
               MR. FAES: Okay, the first one for James Hart
    is Plaintiffs' 1256, which is the curriculum vitae of
 7
 8
    James C. Hart.
 9
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
10
                          Next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 1297,
               MR. FAES:
11
    which is the Johnson & Johnson credo.
12
                           No objection.
               MR. COMBS:
13
               MR. FAES:
                           The next exhibit is Plaintiffs' 759,
14
    which is an email entitled IIS Policy.
15
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
16
               THE CLERK: Thank you.
17
               MR. FAES: And the last Hart plaintiff's
18
    exhibit is Plaintiffs' 153, titled Biocompatibility Risk
19
    Assessment.
20
               MR. COMBS: No objection.
21
               THE CLERK:
                           Thank you.
22
               MR. COMBS: The next group of exhibits is going
    to be the exhibits related to Dr. Chen's video
23
24
    deposition. And, in regard to this group of the exhibits,
25
    I want to just rely on what we placed on the record,
```

because we actually had a sidebar and discussed those. 1 2 So, Mr. Cartmell was here with me at that time, and we 3 discussed what the agreements of parties were that for the 4 placement of the exhibits. 5 And I'll be glad to look at them for form as he is 6 handing them to me. 7 And we're handing the court reporter Exhibit 690, which is the redacted. 8 9 MR. FAES: The next one is going to be 176. 10 MR. COMBS: I just want to see the redaction on 11 that one. 12 Okay, yeah, that's 686. 13 THE CLERK: And we need 176. 14 MR. FAES: Here's 176. MR. COMBS: Exhibit 700 is a two-page document 15 that's Bates stamped FMESH 04097335, FMESH 04097336, and 16 Mr. Faes can just provide a copy of that at the end of the 17 18 lunch break in the course of that document. 19 THE CLERK: Okay. 20 And the only other one I had is 969, MR. FAES: 21 we said last night, was already in, but I don't see it on 22 the list. 23 MR. COMBS: I believe it was cut from your part 24 of the --MR. FAES: I'd like to admit it, if we can. 25

```
MR. COMBS: Show me the document, because I
1
 2
    think it's -- it's been admitted.
               MR. FAES: I don't believe it has. I know we
 3
 4
    all said that last night, but I just checked her exhibit
 5
    list, and it's not on there. And it's about to be offered
 6
    in camera, as well.
 7
               MR. COMBS: Just -- we can go off the record
 8
    for a second.
 9
           (Off the record discussion.)
10
               MR. COMBS: Okay, in regard to Exhibit 969,
11
    frankly, I'm not sure whether it was admitted through Chen
12
    or not, but it will be admitted with other witnesses.
13
    just don't remember.
14
               THE CLERK: So, no objection to this?
               MR. COMBS: We don't object to it. It's going
15
16
    to be discussed with other witnesses.
17
               THE CLERK: Okay. All right.
18
                           That's everything for now.
               MR. FAES:
19
               THE CLERK:
                                   Thank you.
                            Okay.
20
    BENCH CONFERENCE CONCLUDED.
21
         (Luncheon Recess.)
22
23
24
25
```

AFTERNOON SESSION

(Out of the presence and hearing of the jury:)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. The Court will do some housekeeping.

Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1963 is marked and admitted.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 1965 is marked and admitted. 1966 is

marked and admitted. 1971 is marked and admitted. 1970 is

marked and admitted. 858 is marked and admitted. 1885 is

simply marked. 93 is marked and admitted. 1669 is marked and

admitted. 690 is marked. 686 is marked. 176 is marked. 700

is marked. 1256 is marked and admitted. 1297 is marked and

admitted. 759 is marked and admitted. 153 is marked and

admitted. 969 is marked and admitted.

I'm advised that we have a very kind group of lawyers and parties in this case who have agreed to equally chip in and buy lunch for the jury. I think that's awful nice of you all. I want to thank you. If it's all right with you, I'm just going to tell them the parties have decided to buy their lunch, you know.

MS. JONES: That would be fine, Your Honor, if you'd tell them that I wanted to buy them a really good lunch.

MR. CARTMELL: With dessert.

MS. JONES: And they have --

MR. CARTMELL: She said McDonald's.

THE COURT: All right. Would you bring them in,

1 please. 2 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor --3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. ANDERSON: -- excuse me. After the next video 4 5 is played -- I think it's about 45 minutes -- we may need a few minutes to get a few things set. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. ANDERSON: So I don't know if that's jury time. 9 THE COURT: That will work out just fine. 10 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, after the next video and 11 before that witness, I'm going to need a few minutes with you. 12 THE COURT: That's fine. Just remind me. 13 (Jury In) 14 THE COURT: You may be seated. Thank you. 15 Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. After talking to you about the stinginess of your government with regard to 16 17 lunch tomorrow, the parties volunteered equally to buy your 18 lunch. So tomorrow they will equally treat you to lunch, and 19 I'm not chipping in anything. So it's very nice. 20 Now, we also are going to watch the weather close so that 21 we see if we need to let you out early tomorrow afternoon. 22 Right now, it looks like the temperature is going to get up to 23 40 or thereabouts around noon tomorrow and the roads should be 24 clear going home. But we'll keep a close eye on it, and if it 25 looks like we've got a problem, we'll quit a little early

```
1
      tomorrow. But don't count on it, okay?
 2
          All right. Are you squared away for tonight? Everybody?
 3
               A JUROR: Not sure yet.
                THE COURT: Not sure yet? Okay. Well, work with
 4
 5
     Tracy on it and we'll see how it works out. My weather
      forecasting yesterday wasn't that good, so I hesitate to do it
 6
 7
     again, but it's warming up right now. And if it stops
 8
      snowing, it shouldn't take very long to have clear roads.
 9
     we'll see.
10
          We're in the middle of a videotaped deposition. We'll
11
     resume where we left off. Counsel?
12
               MR. CARTMELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: I should say testimony.
14
               MR. CARTMELL: That's the end of the plaintiff's
15
     presentation of Gene Kammerer.
                THE COURT: Do the defendants have cross-
16
17
     examination?
18
               MR. COMBS: We do, Judge, and it's being queued up.
19
           (The video of Gene Kammerer was resumed.)
20
                MR. COMBS: Your Honor, that's the end of the
21
     defense questions.
22
                THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.
23
               MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, can we have a few minutes
24
     before the next witness, please?
25
               THE COURT: Yes.
```

MR. THOMAS: Thank you, Your Honor.

## SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I understand the plaintiffs are about to call Dr. Uwe Klinge to testify in the case. Dr. Klinge prepared an 80-some page report and was deposed for two days in Aachen, Germany, and I have his report.

I also have the testimony of Dr. Klosterhalfen, and Dr. Klosterhalfen in his testimony covered almost the entire scope of Dr. Klinge's report. I have for the Court the Dr. Klosterhalfen deposition with the tabs in the area where he covered the scope of the questions by Dr. Klinge. I have a list, an index of those portions where the testimony was covered.

The numbered categories, there are the categories which appear in the Klinge report in the initial beginning. He lists the categories of testimony where he is going to offer testimony. What we did is we went through the Klosterhalfen deposition and identified those places where Dr. Klosterhalfen gave expert testimony, including ultimate opinion questions in the areas that are identified there, and I noted the page line where it occurred.

When I prepared that, I did not have the as-read copy of the Klosterhalfen deposition. And I've since learned that the deposition ceases at 10615. So the entries on there that relate to chronic foreign body reaction, which is number one,

and the last one, is an alternative design, were not covered in the direct.

So it's Ethicon's position that all of those areas have been covered by Dr. Klosterhalfen. Any further testimony by Dr. Klinge in that regard would be cumulative.

I also note rulings by the Court at the top on the Daubert issues. The Court ruled in those three areas of the Daubert issues. I see that Dr. Klosterhalfen has brought a microscope with him. It appears that Dr. Klosterhalfen is going to read slides and describe the results of the slides to the jury.

I understood from the Court's Daubert ruling when you ruled that the basis of his opinions for his pathology opinions were unreliable, that there were no pathology opinions to be offered in that regard. What I want to know is the scope of the testimony of Dr. Klinge so I don't jump up and down the whole time if the Court tells me he is or is not going to be permitted to testify in these areas where he's been precluded or there's been cumulative testimony from Dr. Klosterhalfen.

MR. ANDERSON: First of all, I'm just now getting this stack of documents that I would need more time to respond to. That's one.

Secondly, Dr. Klosterhalfen gave numerous fact witness opinions. And so at this point we're taking counsel's word

for it this is what it shows. If something would be precluded, we would want an opportunity to counter that.

Third, when Your Honor addressed the *Daubert* opinions regarding pathology, Ethicon was relating to the explants that were not from Miss Lewis. So he brought his microscope today in order to specifically address Miss Lewis's pathology. I saw nothing in your order regarding that. It wasn't specified. And so I would ask that this man who's flown from Germany be allowed to testify today.

We certainly don't want to provide cumulative things, but he was there from the beginning and he came here to testify and we're going to try to limit him as much as we can, but he does have a lot for the judge and jury to hear. He has to lay a foundation for how he could give the pathology opinions today and his 20 years' worth of research.

MR. THOMAS: Your order specifically excluded the 22 explants.

THE COURT: I take --

MR. THOMAS: They're on the back of his report. And Mrs. Lewis is one of the 22 that was excluded.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. THOMAS: The basis for his opinion, because he's not a medical doctor -- he's not a pathologist. The basis of his opinion where he purports to be a surgeon and a histologist and transfer that knowledge into the

qualifications to read human pathology is reliance on the explants during -- and the other 22 explants that are -- that he reviewed. So that's the basis of his opinion where he would give human pathology opinions. And I think that's what you exclude.

THE COURT: I did not find a reliable basis for him to testify regarding the explants basically because the manner in which they were selected didn't seem to me to be a sufficient foundation such for an expert opinion. I will certainly let counsel attempt to qualify him to testify about this woman's tissues. If he qualifies, fine. If he doesn't, you can object and I'll rule on it.

What I would ask counsel to do is let's just let the jury have a few-minute break, and go over it, try to avoid the cumulative stuff. The areas where Dr. Klosterhalfen was incomplete or was testifying as to facts, I will allow this witness to duplicate to some extent. But there are areas, if this is correct, where it's absolutely duplicative. So why don't we take some time and look and see what you can do and then we'll put him on the stand.

MR. ANDERSON: Give me a few minutes and I'll try to do that.

THE COURT: Fifteen?

MR. ANDERSON: Fifteen will be great.

END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE

THE COURT: Good news and good news. First of all, the clerk's office has heard that I've been talking about how stingy the federal government is, and they've approved a hotel for the night for all of you, and meals obviously that go with it. Secondly, we need to take an early break for the afternoon. So we'll take a 15-minute break at this time. Please do not discuss the case. Don't do any of those other things I've told you repeatedly not to do. I'll call you back. Court is in recess. (Recess) 

```
(In open court following the recess. The jury is
1
 2
    present.)
 3
               THE COURT: Be seated. Thank you.
 4
          All right, counsel.
 5
               MR. ANDERSON: Yes, your Honor. Plaintiffs
 6
    call by video Ethicon preclinical scientist in research
 7
    and development, Joerg Holste.
           (The videotaped deposition of Joerg Holste was
 8
 9
    played.)
10
               MR. COMBS: Let's stop.
           (Mr. Combs and Mr. Cartmell conferring off the
11
12
    record.)
               MR. CARTMELL: Yes, that's the end of the
13
14
    plaintiffs' version. We're going to go to the defendants.
15
               THE COURT: All right.
               MR. COMBS: Judge, the defense will have a
16
    brief redirect, but it's going to take a few seconds to
17
    cue it up.
18
19
               THE COURT:
                            Sure.
20
               MR. COMBS: Thank you.
21
               THE COURT: I like any part that begins with
22
    the word "brief."
               MR. COMBS: It will be brief.
23
24
           (Videotaped deposition of Dr. Holste continues.)
25
               MR. COMBS: Judge Goodwin, that ends the
```

- 1 questions by the defense.
- THE COURT: All right, is that the end of this
- 3 | videotape?
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: All right, call your next witness
- 6 MR. ANDERSON: Yes, your Honor. The plaintiffs
- 7 | call Dr. Uwe Klinge.
- 8 UWE KLINGE, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 11 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Klinge.
- 12 A. Good afternoon.
- 13 | Q. State your name, please, for the jury.
- 14 | A. My name is Uwe Klinge.
- 15 | Q. And where are you from, Dr. Klinge?
- 16 | A. I'm coming from Germany and I'm -- yeah, I'm living in
- 17 | Aachen.
- 18 | Q. And what is your profession?
- 19 A. I'm a medical doctor. I'm a surgeon, mainly a surgeon
- 20 of diseases in the abdominal cavity and the abdominal
- 21 | wall. And I'm a scientist as an employee of the
- 22 | university, and the focus is biomaterials, and
- 23 | particularly biomaterials in soft tissue that are textile
- 24 | implants, and the evaluation of the functional
- 25 | consequences and basic pathology consequences of this.

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: May I approach, your Honor?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 3 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 4 | Q. Dr. Klinge, I'm showing you what has been marked as
- 5 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 1-A.
- 6 Doctor, is exhibit 1-A a copy of your curriculum
- 7 | vitae?
- 8 | A. That's true.
- 9 Q. Briefly, if you would, just tell the jury a little bit
- 10 about your professional career from medical school to the
- 11 | present?
- 12 A. When I finished medical school, which I went to
- 13 | Aachen, to the medical school at Aachen, I started as a
- 14 | surgical resident at the university hospital at the
- 15 | surgical department there, and I was --
- 16  $\mid$  Q. Just a second. Talk just a little bit slower for the
- 17 | court reporter and for the jury, okay?
- 18 A. Sorry. So, in 1985, I started as a surgical resident
- 19 at the surgical department of the university clinic, and
- 20 | since then I was trained as a surgeon and -- yeah -- so,
- 21 | finally, that I can finish my education as a surgeon at
- 22 | this department and were able to -- to do all of the major
- 23 operations in the abdominal cavity there.
- 24 | O. And did there come a point in time when you
- 25 | transitioned out of hernia surgery on a day in and day out

- 1 | basis?
- 2 A. I --
- 3 | Q. I'm sorry. Did there come a time when you
- 4 | transitioned out of hernia surgery?
- 5 A. I don't understand.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. English is not your first language, and --
- 7 | A. No, sir.
- 8 Q. -- you speak German. Let me try a little better. I
- 9 | apologize.
- 10 What did you -- after practicing as a hernia
- 11 | surgeon, what else have you done in your professional
- 12 | career?
- 13 | A. So the -- the main work was working as a visceral
- 14 | surgeon.
- 15 | O. And what is a visceral surgeon?
- 16 A. They work with diseases of the intestine and the
- 17 | abdominal cavity, or the organs in there. So, I'd treat
- 18 | all these diseases and the diseases of the abdominal
- 19 | wall. That is, all kinds of hernias belong to this field
- 20 of surgery. So this has been the focus of my surgical
- 21 | work there. And besides, we made a lot of scientific
- 22 | work, as I said, with a focus on surgical meshes.
- 23 | O. And in terms of that work on the biomaterial science
- 24 on of surgical meshes, approximately how long have you
- 25 | been studying that, Doctor?

- 1 A. We started to work scientifically on the meshes when
- 2 | they -- in the beginning of the nineties, and we are not
- 3 | finished yet. We are still working on this topic.
- 4 | Q. As part of that biomaterials science work, have you
- 5 reviewed pathology slides or histopathology slides?
- 6 | A. Yes. It was quite clear from the beginning that we
- 7 | have to look very careful to the -- to the tissue response
- 8 | to this material, and, therefore, we -- I had to learn to
- 9 | evaluate the tissue response to these textile implants.
- 10 Myself and -- at the beginning, when we started to
- 11 do so, there hardly was any good way to analyze the tissue
- 12 response, and, therefore, together with Klosterhalfen, we
- 13 | had to establish a lot of criteria to define whether it's
- 14 | a good or a bad or a difficult tissue reaction to the
- 15 material.
- 16 | Q. By the material, you mean surgical meshes for the
- 17 | body?
- 18 A. Surgical meshes in the body, yes.
- 19 | O. So, how did you go about getting this training to
- 20 | review pathology slides with Dr. Klosterhalfen beginning
- 21 | 20 years ago, 30 years ago?
- 22 | A. Well, actually, we have been sitting many times
- 23 | together and looking together to the explants that we
- 24 | made, and because we used a lot of modifications where we
- 25 | clearly knew what has been the modification, so then we

- 1 | learned what is -- what is a change of the tissue reaction
- 2 | when you apply or when you use a material with a certain
- 3 | modification.
- 4 And thereby we learned what is relevant, what's
- 5 predicts the outcome of the mesh materials.
- 6 Q. When you're talking about this prediction of the
- 7 | outcome of materials by looking at slides and
- 8 | histopathology, just explain for the jury what
- 9 | histopathology is?
- 10  $\mid$  A. It's the pathology -- mainly is the analysis of the
- 11 | tissue on the microscopical level. So, it's usually
- 12 | the -- the properties of the tissues that you cannot see
- 13 | with the naked eyes.
- 14 | Q. When did you first start learning about pathology or
- 15 | training, based upon your education and background?
- 16 | A. Pathology and histology is an essential part of the
- 17 | medical school, so, therefore, it started it. Then, later
- 18 on, there are regular meetings with the pathology at
- 19 | almost all diseases that we treat surgically, and mainly
- 20 | for all types of cancer. So, we had regular meetings
- 21 | together with the pathologists to discuss these
- 22 | histological findings.
- 23 And then, yeah, as I said, we learned it by our
- 24 | studies, preclinical studies, and by the analysis of
- 25 | explanted meshes from humans that we started to collect to

- 1 | learn about it. And so, I looked to many, many of these
- 2 | explanted meshes.
- 3 | O. So you trained with Dr. Klosterhalfen and the other
- 4 | pathologists about reviewing histopathology slides?
- 5 A. Yes, yes.
- 6 Q. Over these last 20 years, approximately how many of
- 7 | these histopathology slides have you, yourself, reviewed,
- 8 | analyzed and interpreted of surgical meshes explanted from
- 9 | the human body?
- 10 | A. I only can roughly estimate it. We don't have a
- 11 | counter there. But it is at least 20,000 or more.
- 12 | Q. Who has relied on your pathology work over those 20
- 13 | years and in your review of histopathological slides?
- 14 | A. A lot of our studies include the histological analysis
- 15 of these results. So, every -- so everyone who read these
- 16 | articles, he has to -- or he would -- relied on the
- 17 | results of these analyses.
- 18 Q. I noticed in your CV -- let me see if I can ask it
- 19 | this way -- it shows that you've written some findings in
- 20 | your CV?
- 21 | A. Yes. We published everything. We want to publish all
- 22 | of our findings at the university. That is, our -- our
- 23 | main task is to educate and to provide our findings to the
- 24 | public.
- 25 | Q. How many publications in the scientific literature

- 1 | have you written, Dr. Klinge?
- 2 A. It's more than 200.
- 3 Q. And how many times has your work been cited by other
- 4 | scientists and surgeons in the scientific literature?
- 5 A. It's actually more than 2,000 times.
- 6 | Q. Approximately how many of those scientific
- 7 | publications of yours relate to mesh for the abdomen or
- 8 | the pelvis?
- 9 A. For the purpose of meshes, it's more than a hundred.
- 10 | So, half of them.
- 11 | Q. And in those publications, how many times were you the
- 12 | scientist that was responsible solely for the
- 13 | histopathology of a tissue reaction in explanted meshes?
- 14 | A. In about 50.
- 15 | Q. You talked a little bit about the University of
- 16 | Aachen. Is that where you're still currently employed,
- 17 | sir?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And in terms of textile research, how does the
- 20 | University of Aachen rank in terms of textile research
- 21 | facilities in the entire world?
- 22 | A. Their technical university is one of the few technical
- 23 | universities which has a combination of a medical faculty
- 24 | and the textile engineering, and the textile university is
- 25 one of the top locations in Germany and for the -- or for

- 1 | the engineering of the machines of the textile. It is
- 2 | maybe one of the top faculties of the world.
- 3 Q. Is there anyone that you're aware of, other than you
- 4 | and Dr. Klosterhalfen, who has studied surgical meshes in
- 5 | the world over the last 20 years?
- 6 A. No, I don't know any, and I don't know any who has our
- 7 | combination of combining engineers and pathology and
- 8 | surgeons.
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: May I approach, your Honor?
- 10 THE COURT: You may.
- 11 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 12 | Q. Doctor, at my request, did you prepare an expert --
- 13 | well, three expert reports in this case?
- 14 | A. I did.
- 15 | Q. And I would like to identify them as Plaintiff's
- 16 | Exhibit 1, 1-B and 1-C.
- 17 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I'd like to offer
- 18 | 1-A, 1 -- I'm sorry, 1, 1-A, 1-B and 1-C, please.
- 19 THE COURT: Is that four exhibits or just
- 20 | three?
- 21 MR. ANDERSON: It's the CV and three reports.
- 22 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I have no objection to
- 23 | the CV, but I do object to the reports being received into
- 24 | evidence.
- 25 THE COURT: All right, I'll admit the CV. I

- 1 | don't think sufficient foundation has been laid for the
- 2 others.
- MR. ANDERSON: Nor did I intend to, your Honor.
- 4 | Thank you.
- 5 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 6 | Q. In writing your report and in coming to the opinions
- 7 | that we'll address with the jury today, did I ask you to
- 8 | review internal Ethicon documents?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Approximately how many pages of Ethicon documents have
- 11 | you reviewed in the course of your work in this case, sir?
- 12 A. More than a thousand.
- 13 | Q. And have I asked you to review depositions?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Have you reviewed internal Ethicon depositions at my
- 16 | request?
- 17 | A. I reviewed about a dozen of depositions.
- 18 | O. And have you reviewed scientific literature both
- 19 | before this case and during the pendency of this case that
- 20 | relates to the issues of textile meshes and polypropylene
- 21 | meshes in the human tissue?
- 22 | A. That is part of my daily work, but, particularly for
- 23 | this case, I intensified some research through some
- 24 | specific issues.
- 25 | Q. Dr. Klinge, what is Prolene mesh; the Prolene mesh

- 1 | that's used in the TVT?
- 2 | A. Prolene mesh is a textile construction with a double
- 3 | filament. It is, in comparison to others, very heavy.
- 4 | That means that it has a considerable amount of material
- 5 | in comparison to others. It is done of -- or it is
- 6 | constructed -- the polymer is the polypropylene.
- 7 | Polypropylene is a plastic material that is used -- widely
- 8 | used in our daily life. So, all -- most of the plastic
- 9 bags are made of polypropylene.
- 10 | Q. And do any of the studies that we've talked about in
- 11 | the publications, the hundreds of publications in your CV,
- 12 do any of those involve research on Ethicon's Prolene
- 13 | mesh?
- 14 | A. Most of our preclinical studies include the use of the
- 15 | Prolene mesh as a reference of a -- yeah, so-called heavy-
- 16 | weight small-pore meshes, or mesh material of the most
- 17 | intense tissue reaction. And, therefore, we used it in
- 18 | most of -- almost all of our preclinical studies.
- 19 | Q. Going back to what time period did you first start
- 20 | looking at and analyzing the Prolene hernia mesh that is
- 21 | also the Prolene mesh in TVT?
- 22 | A. The first clinical -- or there is -- the first
- 23 | experiments we did to characterize the response to some
- 24 | currently -- at that time currently available meshes, they
- 25 | started in 1994. There we took some mesh materials that

- 1 | are available on the market at that time, and we placed it
- 2 | in tissue, and then we tried to identify the parameters
- 3 | that are decisive for characterization of the biological
- 4 | response to these different materials.
- 5 Q. The jury has just heard from a Joerg Holste, an
- 6 | Ethicon employee. Are you familiar with Dr. Holste?
- 7 A. Yeah, I know him good.
- 8 | Q. How long have you been working with Dr. Holste at
- 9 | Ethicon?
- 10 | A. I've seen him the first time -- or the closer
- 11 | collaboration, it started in 1994.
- 12 | Q. Explain to the jury just briefly about your
- 13 | collaboration and consulting work with Ethicon dating back
- 14 | to the nineties?
- 15 | A. Beginning with this focus on the meshes, it started in
- 16 | the beginning of the nineties, because at that time there
- 17 | came up some surgical procedures that used these mesh
- 18 | materials, and there was an intense discussion among the
- 19 | surgeons whether really to use these meshes or still using
- 20 only suture materials. And, in this discussion, there
- 21 | are -- was the, or we all felt that it is necessary to
- 22 | understand little bit more about the meshes, in
- 23 | particularly, as we have to make some revision operations
- 24 of these mesh materials, and we saw that there are some
- 25 complications, though we implanted them in a correct way.

And, to understand this, we said we have to learn a lot more about these materials, and, in particularly, we hoped that we can reduce the amount of the material and, therefore, make it more safe.

That was the basic idea why we started to think in this direction, and we had the opportunity at the university to build up a scientific research group for the studying of biomaterials and meshes, in particularly. Now we got a grant so that we could do it. But when you want to study meshes, you need specific modifications of these meshes, and you are not able -- in surgery, we weren't able to prepare these modifications. So, you have to contact the manufacturer. You have to work with a manufacturer who provides the filaments and who provides the mesh materials, and then together you can create these experimentally implants and then making your studies with this.

And the manufacturer working for these projects, that has been Ethicon from the beginning.

Q. So, in this collaboration between your group and the biomaterials research group in Aachen in this collaboration with Ethicon, were you able to actually reduce the material and develop a new product?

A. That -- that was finally the end of the first phase that we -- as Joerg Holste had turned it already; that we

- 1 | could reduce the amount of the material to one-third, and
- 2 | that we can -- could demonstrate in multiple studies, and,
- 3 | later on, even in clinical studies that the reduction in
- 4 | the amount of the material improves the clinical outcome
- 5 and reduces the risk for the patient.
- 6 Q. And what was the name of that product that was
- 7 developed in collaboration between you and Ethicon in the
- 8 | nineties?
- 9 A. This first really large pore meshes has been -- was
- 10 | named as Vypro. It was a combination of polypropylene and
- 11 | an absorbable product.
- 12 | Q. And after the development of Vypro, did Ethicon begin
- 13 | to sell that and other light-weight meshes for hernia
- 14 | repair?
- 15 | A. After the development of the Vypro, the subsequent
- 16 | mesh development by Ethicon was in the direction of -- of
- 17 | these large-pore mesh constructions. Successor is --
- 18 | successor has been the UltraPro, and even today, with the
- 19 | Physiomesh, they provided --
- 20 | Q. So, was this an evolution from Prolene to Vypro to
- 21 | UltraPro to Physiomesh in terms of weight and pore size?
- 22 | A. Yeah. We -- we could show in -- with our studies that
- 23 | it was an advantage for the patient to reduce the amount
- 24 of the material, and this principle -- this is a
- 25 | principle. This principle is still used in many of the

- 1 | products.
- 2 | Q. You're familiar with the old construction 6 mil
- 3 | Prolene that is used in TVT products; correct?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. After Ethicon went to selling Vypro and then UltraPro
- 6 as lighter-weight large-pore meshes for hernia repair, did
- 7 | it stop selling the old construction 6 mil hernia repair
- 8 | mesh?
- 9 A. So far I know that the selling of the Prolene
- 10 | continued, but the Prolene -- the old Prolene mesh
- 11 | changed -- or got a little bit some changes in the textile
- 12 | construction. But it's still the prototype of a heavy-
- 13 | weight mesh.
- 14 | Q. What year did Ethicon stop selling the Prolene 6 mil
- 15 | old construction for hernia patients?
- 16 A. Pardon?
- 17  $\mid$  Q. What year did Ethicon stop selling -- strike that.
- 18 Did you review some internal deposition testimony of
- 19 | a -- of Ethicon employees regarding it?
- 20 A. So far I remember, in 2001 the old Prolene was
- 21 | replaced by a -- a new version.
- 22 | Q. And you mentioned UltraPro as a light-weight large-
- 23 | pore mesh by Ethicon. How much larger were the pores and
- 24 | how much lighter was the weight than the old construction
- 25 | Prolene?

- 1 A. It's another world. It is the -- the pore size of
- 2 | UltraPro is more than 3 millimeters, whereas the pore size
- 3 of the Prolene is less than 1 millimeter. So, it's
- 4 | considerably significantly more open.
- 5 Q. And what about the weight? How does the weight
- 6 | compare with their UltraPro mesh to their old construction
- 7 | Prolene?
- 8 A. The weight is -- if you're -- it has a part of
- 9 | absorbable material, and this is absorbed. It is
- 10 one-third in comparison to the Prolene mesh.
- 11 | Q. After your review of the materials, did you come to an
- 12 understanding as to whether Ethicon uses the UltraPro mesh
- 13 | in another part of the body?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. What part of the body is that?
- 16 | A. UltraPro of a large-pore conception was used in -- in
- 17 | meshes for the repair of prolapse.
- 18 | Q. Did you say prolapse?
- 19 | A. Prolapse.
- 20 | Q. Okay. So, Ethicon sold a light-weight large-pore mesh
- 21 | for hernia, a light-weight large-pore mesh for prolapse.
- 22 | Does it sell a light-weight large-pore mesh for stress
- 23 | urinary incontinence in women's vaginas?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. After your review and your study over the last 20

- 1 | years of surgical meshes and surgical implants and
- 2 explants and your review of all of the literature and your
- 3 | background, training and experience, have you ever been
- 4 | able to come to any understanding as to why Ethicon
- 5 | continues to sell a heavy-weight small-pore --
- 6 (Mr. Thomas rising.)
- 7 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 8 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 9 Q. While you were a consultant at Ethicon did you speak
- 10 | at conferences at their request?
- 11 | A. Yes, several times.
- 12 | O. At what point in time did your consulting
- 13 | relationship, if you will, stop with Ethicon?
- 14 | A. The collaboration or the working together with
- 15 | Ethicon, it definitely or finally stopped in 2012. We
- 16 | have from 1994 to the beginning of 2004, '5, we have this
- 17 | development of this very intensive joint development of
- 18 | meshes, the discussion of the results. Later on we had
- 19 ongoing projects Aachen. I think they finished in 2009.
- 20 | We had regularly meetings there till 2009. That's --
- 21 | Q. Have you been asked by Ethicon to speak at conferences
- 22 | that they sponsored regarding biomaterials science and
- 23 | pathology of surgical meshes used in the pelvic floor?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And have you been asked by Ethicon to speak at

- 1 | conferences urogynecologists, gynecologists and urologists
- 2 | about pelvic-floor meshes either for prolapse or SUI?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. In the course of your work, where you've looked at --
- 5 | you mentioned that you'd looked at animal explants. Have
- 6 | you also looked at explants from humans regarding Prolene?
- 7 A. Yes. We know from the beginning that animal
- 8 | experiments have -- or have important limitations, and
- 9 | that for -- that we have to edit or we have to look at
- 10 what happens in the humans. And the only occasion that we
- 11 | had --
- MR. THOMAS: Your Honor?
- 13 | THE COURT: I know the limitations I've placed
- 14 on this. I assume counsel does, too. As long as this
- 15 | answer stays as general as I it has so far, we're fine.
- 16 | If it goes much further, we aren't.
- 17 | MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 20 | Q. Have you personally analyzed and done the
- 21 | histopathological analysis of hernia explant meshes with
- 22 | Prolene in them?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Is that part of your work at the biomaterials research
- 25 group in Aachen University?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And have you also reviewed pelvic-floor meshes and the
- 3 | tissue response to pelvic-floor meshes as part of your
- 4 | work at Aachen University?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. Approximately how many tissue samples or explants have
- 7 | you reviewed either for hernia meshes or pelvic-floor
- 8 | meshes as part of your work at Aachen University?
- 9 A. We have collected at our university 500 hernia
- 10 | meshes -- hernia meshes, and I've reviewed some of the
- 11 | meshes that are collected in Duren by Prof. Klosterhalfen,
- 12 | as well.
- MR. THOMAS: Your Honor?
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will disregard
- 15 | the last question and answer.
- 16 MR. ANDERSON: May I approach, your Honor?
- 17 THE COURT: Sure, but I did rule on this.
- 18 | SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:
- 19 THE COURT: All right, sir.
- 20 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, this is what we
- 21 | sought to clarify with our motion for clarification with
- 22 | your Honor. As part of Dr. Klinge's work, he has
- 23 | published extensively on the explant database that he has
- 24 | maintained at his university and with Duren. He's
- 25 | published in the worldwide reviewed literature, and he is

one of the persons in charge of the European Hernia 1 Society's explant database. 2. What I'm trying to do here, sir, is to ask your 3 Honor if -- to lay a foundation for the fact that he's 4 reviewed pathology slides, 25,000 of them, over 20 years. 5 6 THE COURT: He said that. And I want to make sure that 7 MR. ANDERSON: I've laid the foundation so he can talk about Ms. Carolyn 8 9 Lewis' explants. But I can't do that if he can't talk 10 about at lease that he has reviewed these over the course 11 of his career. 12 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. THOMAS: His deposition testimony is very clear that when he has collected hernia explants at the 15 16 University of Aachen he has not collected and has decided not to collect explants from the pelvic floor. So, his 17 18 expertise in this regard is clearly related only to hernia 19 explants and not to pelvic floor meshes that he's 20 collected himself. 21 I would suggest to the Court that selection bias exists for any other mesh collections that we've already 22 23 talked about. 24 THE COURT: And on your, I guess -- I forget 25 how you styled the motion for me to think it over again.

```
Yes, sir.
1
               MR. ANDERSON:
 2
               THE COURT: I actually ruled on that, as well.
 3
    There is no question that this guy has looked at meshes of
 4
    different kinds through microscope. You brought that out.
 5
               MR. ANDERSON:
                               Okay.
 6
               THE COURT: He's looked at explants of various
    kinds, and you've brought that out. Now, whether he's
 7
    qualified to render some opinion about Ms. Lewis' explant,
 8
 9
    I don't know yet.
10
               MR. ANDERSON:
                               That's why I was trying to ask
11
    if he had ever done histopathological analyses on the
    meshes that have been explanted from the pelvic floor.
12
13
    can't lay that foundation if your Honor won't let me ask
14
    it.
           And I saw that you didn't like my response, so I was
15
    going to -- I wanted to ask for my own sidebar just
16
    because I want some clarification. I don't want your
17
18
    Honor to be upset at me.
                           No, no, no, I'll never be upset.
19
                THE COURT:
20
    Mr. Anderson, you can be assured I won't be upset with any
21
    of you.
22
               MR. ANDERSON: You can get there, though.
                                                           And
23
    I want -- I want help. I don't know how you want me to do
24
    that.
25
                THE COURT: Well, he's not a pathologist, but
```

```
he is a surgeon. He has testified that he has had
 1
 2
    extensive experience and published peer-reviewed articles
 3
    involving conclusions he drew about histology.
 4
           So, I don't know which of those articles -- he said
 5
    about 50 of them -- the histological opinions were solely
 6
    his.
                               That's right.
 7
               MR. ANDERSON:
               THE COURT:
                           And if he has 50 peer-reviewed
 9
    articles with histological conclusions, and you can tie
    that -- I'm not trying to tell you how to try your case --
10
11
    if you can tie that to him looking at Mrs. Lewis' SUI mesh
    somehow -- I don't know what these articles are -- and
12
13
    maybe you can get there. That's about the best I can do
14
    for you.
15
                              I tried to get there, your
               MR. ANDERSON:
    Honor, but I -- I will tie it more to the publications
16
17
    than to looking at the explanted pelvic floor meshes in
18
    Duren.
            Is that --
19
                           Well, as I understand it, unless
               THE COURT:
20
    you lay a further foundation, I'm not sure that -- as I
21
    pointed out in my ruling, I don't know what -- there was
22
    no indication how the meshes that he collected and studied
23
    were chosen nor how he formed opinions based upon that
24
    particular collection that were reliable. So, there
25
    wasn't enough information from which I could conclude that
```

- 1 | it wasn't junk science.
- The Supreme Court hasn't been extraordinarily
- 3 helpful with <u>Daubert</u>, and, frankly, beyond the fact that
- 4 | the Fourth Circuit is pretty generous to doctors on
- 5 differential diagnosis, nor have they.
- 6 So, I just have to do my own best shot at this. And
- 7 | I've told you all, I'm going to tell you now, take a shot
- 8 and we'll see how it goes.
- 9 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 10 | END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
- 11 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 12 Q. Dr. Klinge, as part of these publications that you
- 13 | mentioned in which you were the pathologist or
- 14 | histopathologist reviewing slides, did some of those
- 15 | include your review of explanted meshes from women's
- 16 | pelvic floors?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | O. Is that something that you have done over the course
- 19 of the last 15 years, is look at explanted meshes from the
- 20 | pelvic floor and published on them?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. I show you what is marked as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1993.
- 23 | MR. ANDERSON: If I may approach, your Honor?
- 24 THE COURT: You may.
- 25 BY MR. ANDERSON:

- 1 Q. And I'd also like to show you Exhibit 1998 at the same
- 2 | time.
- THE COURT: What was the first one, I'm sorry?
- 4 | MR. ANDERSON: 1993, sir.
- 5 THE COURT: All right.
- 6 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 7 | Q. I'm showing you what we've marked as Plaintiffs' 1993
- 8 | and 1998. Are those documents that you had within your
- 9 | files that you produced in this litigation?
- 10 | A. That's true.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, if we could show
- 13 | 1993 and 1998?
- 14 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, they're in German. I
- 15 | don't know what they are. I don't think the witness has
- 16 | identified them yet, other than being documents from his
- 17 | file.
- 18 MR. ANDERSON: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 20 Q. In 1993, what's the title of that?
- 21 | A. The title of this symposium that took part in Hamburg
- 22 | in 2007, the title was Pelvic Floor Mesh Forum.
- 23  $\mid$  Q. What does it say to the upper left of this Pelvic
- 24 | Floor Mesh Forum?
- 25 A. At the upper left on this page there is written

- 1 | "Ethicon women's health and urology."
- 2 | Q. Were you an invited lecturer at the Ethicon women's
- 3 | health and urology at Ethicon's facilities?
- 4 | A. Yes. I was asked to give an overview of the
- 5 | scientific basis and the clinical evidence.
- 6 Q. And were you invited as a speaker at Ethicon to speak
- 7 | urogynecologists, gynecologists and urologists about, in
- 8 | part, the histology of pelvic-floor meshes?
- 9 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 10 | Q. And is this presentation something that you prepared
- 11 | at Ethicon's request to present at Ethicon to urologists,
- 12 | gynecologists and urologists?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, I would seek to
- 15 | admit 1993 and 1998.
- 16 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I have no objection
- 17 | based on foundation. I can't read it.
- 18 MR. ANDERSON: And if you would allow me --
- 19 THE COURT: Let me see you both at sidebar for
- 20 | a minute, because I have another matter I need to mention.
- 21 | SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:
- 22 THE COURT: Okay, this still might be helpful.
- 23 | One of the main things that I said is that Dr. Klinge did
- 24 | not put in his report -- and put it in a footnote on page
- 25 | 11 -- although Ethicon asserts that Dr. Klinge's specific

```
causation opinion should be excluded, I said he offers
1
 2
    none that I could find. So, what I'm concerned about now,
    is he about to offer a conclusion on specific causation
 3
 4
    which was not a part of his report? So I'm just -- I
 5
    don't know where you're going, so --
 6
               MR. THOMAS:
                            That's a big part of my concern,
    too, as well, your Honor.
 7
                              The pathology slides in his
 8
               MR. ANDERSON:
 9
    report reflect Ms. Lewis' pathology. He asked --
    Mr. Thomas asked him about that at his deposition, at his
10
11
    two-day deposition. On the second day he asked him are
    those Mrs. Lewis' slides. Yes, they are.
12
                                                And he went
13
    into them extensively, and there were page after page of
14
    pathology slides from Mrs. Lewis.
15
               THE COURT: I understand, Mr. Anderson.
16
    perhaps I should wait for the objection. I just want to
    share with you my concern as to specific causation,
17
18
    because I didn't see that he was listed as offering one.
19
    They acted like he did. I agree with you, because they
20
    objected to it.
21
               MR. ANDERSON: Underneath each of the
    photographs in his report, he says bridging fibrosis or
22
23
    shrinking, and that's why I was trying to lay a
24
    foundation.
                 The fact that --
25
               THE CLERK: One of the jurors needs a break
```

```
right now.
1
 2.
    END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay, we'll take a brief break.
 4
    Remember my other admonitions. Let's come back in about
    five minutes.
 5
 6
           (The jury withdrew.)
           This always happens to me. I'm trying to speed
 7
    things up and I had the effect of just slowing it down.
 8
 9
    So I'm going to let you go forward the way you want to,
10
    and the objections will come or not come.
11
           (Off the record discussion.)
    SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:
12
13
               MR. ANDERSON: If your Honor feels at this time
14
    that I have not laid an appropriate foundation for him to
15
    be able to speak to the pathology slides, I don't know how
16
    much further I can go with trying to qualify him.
                            Well, I can't offer advisory
17
               THE COURT:
18
    opinions, but you just told me about something under the
19
    pictures over there --
20
               MR. ANDERSON:
                               Yes.
21
                THE COURT: -- that I thought was interesting.
           Let me be blunt, Mr. Anderson. I wouldn't give up
22
23
    yet.
24
                               All right. Thank you, your
               MR. ANDERSON:
25
    Honor. I don't want to keep beating my head against the
```

```
I don't want to keep beating my head against the
1
    wall, your Honor.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Sorry, Ms. Jones.
               MS. JONES: Give up.
 4
 5
    END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay, if they're ready, we'll
 7
    start.
           Is there a chance we'll get this witness done today?
 8
 9
               MR. ANDERSON: Given some of the rulings that
    we've had here earlier about nonduplicative testimony, I
10
11
    would think that we will -- given the pathology -- end it
12
    today.
13
               THE COURT: All right.
14
               MR. THOMAS: Pardon?
               THE COURT: I was just trying to find out if we
15
    thought we'd finish the rulings today. He thought he was
16
    going to get the pathology and cross, and we'll finish
17
18
    with him.
19
               MR. THOMAS: So you're going to go solely to
20
    pathology?
21
               THE COURT: Makes you happy, doesn't it?
22
               MR. THOMAS: I'm always --
23
               THE COURT: You look happy.
24
           Let's qo.
25
           (The jury entered the courtroom.)
```

- 1 Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. You may be seated.
- 2 Thank you, Doctor.
- 3 Mr. Anderson?
- 4 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 5 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 6 Q. Referring back to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1, your expert
- 7 | report, Dr. Klinge, in your expert report do you provide
- 8 | photomicrographs of tissue samples taken from Ms. Lewis?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And are these the type of tissue samples that you
- 11 | reviewed in the past and published articles on, as you
- 12 | explained to the jury?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | O. And are these the type of pathology slides of tissue
- 15 | samples that you have presented at conferences around the
- 16 | world?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And did I ask you to review different types of
- 19 | pathology slides for purposes of offering your opinions
- 20 | here to the jury today?
- 21 | A. For this case, yes.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And what different types of slides did we ask
- 23 | you to have prepared to be able to look at the tissue
- 24 | samples coming from Ms. Lewis?
- 25 | A. Basically, these are two different stains. The first

```
is a staining for the cells and for the -- for the tissue
1
 2
    in between the cells, what we call the matrix, and mainly
 3
    this is the collagen to identify whether there is scar
 4
    tissue or fat tissue or other tissues. This is one
 5
    stain.
 6
           And the other stain is to look whether there are
    some nerves that are entrapped into -- in this scar
 7
    tissue. And this is done because these nerves are very,
 8
 9
    very small, so that you cannot identify them just by
    looking. You need some specific markers that are specific
10
11
    for the -- or that binds specifically to the tissue of
12
    nerves.
13
           And then you have an additional marker with a brown
14
    color. So then you can made a marking of these nerve-like
15
    structures --
16
               MR. THOMAS: Your Honor?
17
               THE COURT: Yes?
18
               MR. THOMAS: I think he's going well past
19
    foundation, if that's what we're trying to do. I object
20
    to it.
21
               THE COURT: I'm going to allow it. Please go
22
    on.
23
                              Thank you, your Honor.
               MR. ANDERSON:
24
    BY MR. ANDERSON:
25
    Q. Did you bring some of those slides from Ms. Lewis here
```

today to show the jury? 1 2 Yes. 3 MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, may he show the slides from Ms. Lewis? 4 5 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I object and request 6 sidebar. 7 THE COURT: Sure, we can have a sidebar. Wе haven't had one for awhile. 8 9 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE: 10 THE COURT: All right, sir. MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I object to Dr. Klinge 11 given any pathology opinions. I don't believe an adequate 12 foundation has been laid. 13 To the extent the Court is inclined to hear 14 testimony, I'd request that I be able to take the witness 15 16 on voir dire at this point. The other thing I'd like to remind the Court, and 17 this is true, while there are pathology slides identified 18 19 in the report, you will find nowhere in the report where 20 Dr. Klinge says it's my opinion to a reasonable degree of 21 certainty in my field of expertise that Carolyn Lewis has 22 such-and-such a condition based upon these findings that I find from the slides. 23 24 There are comments made about the numbered slide, 25 but nowhere in the report is there a statement by the

```
expert that there is a condition that she has suffered as
1
 2
    a result of a defect that he's found in the mesh.
 3
               MR. ANDERSON:
                               She has chronic pain and
    dispareunia, he has identified in the slides, and that's
 4
 5
    what I was trying to lay the foundation for, the
 6
    foundation there that the brown stain S, 100 and that's
    found entrapped in nerves.
 7
               THE COURT: What is there in the report about
 8
 9
    that?
10
               MR. ANDERSON: It shows an entrapment -- can I
11
    get the report?
12
               THE COURT:
                           Yes.
13
           (Pause.)
14
           All right, Mr. Anderson.
                               Yes.
                                     So the inflammatory slides
15
               MR. ANDERSON:
16
    begin on page 70 of Dr. Klinge's report, and it says 40X
17
    making any folded mesh and intense inflammatory reaction,
18
    intense, a pronounced acute inflammation, and then I think
19
    I told you confirmed the inflammatory and fibrotic tissue
20
    reaction, polymer particles --
21
                THE COURT:
                            Okay.
22
               MR. ANDERSON: -- etc.
23
                            I'll let you do voir dire of him if
               THE COURT:
24
               I'm going to let it in. But it's up to you.
    you like.
25
                             If that's your ruling, I'll save
               MR. THOMAS:
```

- it for cross then. 1 2 THE COURT: That's what I thought you'd do. 3 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. 4 END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE. 5 THE COURT: All right, sir. 6 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. BY MR. ANDERSON: 7 Dr. Klinge, did you bring some pathology slides from 8 9 Ms. Lewis that you could show to the jury here today? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. Do you have a microscope there so that you could show the jury some of the points of her slides that 12 13 you analyzed for me? 14 A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Your Honor, can he put it on the 15 screen now, please? 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MR. ANDERSON: May I approach? 19 THE COURT: You may. 20 BY MR. ANDERSON: 21 Q. All right, will you please just explain to the jury what we're looking at here in terms of Mrs. Lewis' explant 22 slides? 23 24 A. So, what we see here.
- THE COURT: Hold the microphone closer, would

```
you?
1
 2
                THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
 3
        What you see here is a so-called HE staining of the
 4
             It's a very small sample, and we look through the
 5
    magnification of 40.
 6
           All these white areas here that are the areas where
    the mesh initially has been placed there. And if you --
 7
               MR. ANDERSON: One second.
 8
 9
           (A juror's monitor is malfunctioning.
    resolved the problem.)
10
11
                            Thank you, Robin.
                THE COURT:
                               Thanks, Robin.
12
               MR. ANDERSON:
               THE COURT:
13
                            All right.
14
        So, this white area here, this is the area where the
15
    mesh fibers has been -- or were. But these are very, very
16
    thin sections, and by cutting these sections, the plastic
    material is removed, so that you usually cannot see
17
18
    directly, with some exceptions, the plastic material
19
    itself. You just can see the tissue response around it.
20
           But if you will look on look to this area or to this
21
    part here, there has been the filament, and the filament
22
    has a diameter of 117 microns, and this is the same
23
    diameter of this hole. So, you usually see the holes
24
    where the polymer fibers were.
25
        So, the circles to the right and to the left of the
```

- 1 | screen, those would be polypropylene Prolene fibers in the
- 2 | TVT mesh; correct?
- 3 A. They have been here, they were there, and here in this
- 4 | area, and here there has been these polymer fibers.
- 5 Q. And please explain to the jury what the pink or the
- 6 | red area is in between those fibers.
- 7 A. When the tissue -- when the plastic material is placed
- 8 | in the tissue, then the patient or the tissue activates
- 9 | the defense mechanism, and the first defense mechanism is
- 10 | that a lot of cells are accumulated at the surface of
- 11 | these materials. These are inflammatory cells, and they
- 12 | try to -- to block the surrounding tissue from these
- 13 | foreign-body materials.
- 14 That is what is said, the foreign-body reaction, the
- 15 | inflammatory part of the foreign-body reaction. These are
- 16 | here all these blue cells that you can see here, and --
- 17 | Q. And what is -- I'm sorry, Dr. Klinge. What is the red
- 18 | part in between the fibers?
- 19 | A. The red part in between the fibers, that is scar
- 20 | tissue. And the most prominent part of scar tissue is
- 21 | collagen, and this is stained red.
- 22 | Q. Based upon your work with Ethicon and your literature
- 23 | and your 20 years of research in this area, is there a
- 24 greater risk for the red inflammatory reaction in the
- 25 | tissue if the pores are a certain pore size between each

```
other?
1
 2
               MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor.
 3
    Foundation.
 4
               THE COURT: Give me a minute.
 5
        So --
 6
                THE COURT: Wait just a second.
 7
               MR. ANDERSON:
                               No.
                            Wait just one second.
 8
               THE COURT:
 9
           Overruled.
                      You may answer.
    BY MR. ANDERSON:
10
11
    Q. Is there a minimum pore size between the fibers that
    we're seeing here that will cause fibrotic bridging?
12
13
        You see in this image that the entire space between
14
    these filaments is filled by scar tissue. There is no
    other -- there is no other tissue. And if you measure the
15
16
    distance between these fibers here, you will find that
    it's usually a very small distance.
17
18
           So the pores here in this cross-cutting are very,
19
    very small, and all this tissue around these mesh
20
    materials, it's only scar tissue.
21
    Q. And what does that mean for the patient when you see
    only scar tissue in between the fibers of surgical meshes?
22
    Α.
23
        If the --
24
               MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I object to this.
25
               THE COURT: Sustained. You need more
```

- 1 | foundation for that, Mr. Anderson.
- 2 | BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 3 | Q. As we were talking with the jury about a few minutes
- 4 ago, have you, as part of your work over the last 20
- 5 | years, treated hernia patients who have had similar
- 6 | histopathology as what we see on the screen?
- 7 A. This is a typical reaction for all heavy-weight small-
- 8 pore meshes. They almost look similar to this. And this
- 9 | filling of the space in between the filaments only by scar
- 10 | tissue, that is what we call bridging, and this means, as
- 11 Joerg Holste said, increased risk for shrinkage and
- 12 | chronic pain.
- 13 | Q. And as both a surgeon and a biomaterials scientist,
- 14 | have you treated patients that have had pain as a result
- 15 | of fibrotic bridging like we see in this pathology slide?
- 16 A. Yes. We have made several explantations because of
- 17 | pain, and mainly 90% of these were explants from heavy-
- 18 | weight small-pore meshes.
- 19 | O. What is it about scarring in an implant like we see in
- 20 | this slide that causes pain in patients?
- 21 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I object. Once again,
- 22 | foundation.
- 23 THE COURT: Doctor, these explants of heavy
- 24 | mesh WHEN you found pain before, from what area of the
- 25 | body were they removed?

The meshes that I removed or we 1 THE WITNESS: 2 removed in our department for pain, they were removed from 3 the abdominal wall. 4 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow it. 5 Ladies and gentlemen, I want you to know that his 6 opinion is based upon what he found to be a reaction in the removal of a hernia mesh. 7 Go ahead. 9 BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Dr. Klinge, have you studied the tissue responses of 10 11 surgical meshes for the last 20 years? 12 Yes. 13 Is there a difference in the tissue response, whether 14 it's an abdominal tissue or another tissue in the body, when it comes to a foreign-body reaction to a foreign body 15 16 in a human's body? MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor. 17 18 Foundation. 19 THE COURT: Overruled. The basic tissue reaction is very, very similar, and 20 21 the principle of this bridging scar formation, this 22 filling out of the pores by scar, we saw it in the 23 animals, we saw it in hernia meshes, and we see it here in

a TVT sample. So, it is a confirmation of what can be

seen in all other parts of the body, as well.

24

- 1 Q. Based upon that, do you have an opinion to a
- 2 | reasonable degree of medical and scientific certainty
- 3 | whether or not what we're seeing in this could actually
- 4 | lead to pain in the tissue?
- 5 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor.
- 6 | Foundation.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 8 A. We know that the huge amount of scar tissue is a
- 9 | serious -- or reason for chronic pain. The more scar --
- 10 THE COURT: Is that a yes or a no?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 12 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 13 | Q. Are you familiar with the term "mesh shrinkage"?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Is that something you've studied over the last 20
- 16 | years?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Is that something that you studied while a consultant
- 19 | with Ethicon?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Is that something that you studied while a consultant
- 22 | with Ethicon in helping them to develop safer light-weight
- 23 | large-pore meshes?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Is mesh shrinkage a basis for the development of not

- only UltraPro, but Vypro, for hernia mesh patients? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 And was mesh shrinkage something that was developed 4 with you and Ethicon that led to the development of a 5 light-weight large-pore mesh, Prolene+M -- Prolift+M for a 6 woman's prolapse? 7 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor --8 Α. Yes. 9 MR. THOMAS: -- I know he's trying to get this done, but he's also leading him everywhere he's going, and 10 11 I think that's improper. THE COURT: You know, everybody's led all day 12 13 long. 14 MR. THOMAS: I know that. THE COURT: And it is, indeed, too leading, and 15 I'll sustain the objection, which is unusual for me. 16 BY MR. ANDERSON: 17 18 Is this something that, when you were a consultant 19 with Ethicon, that you saw in the tissues of one explant? 20 Yes. 21 And when you looked at the explants of Prolene, was it 22 both for Prolene mesh as well as --23 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Sustained.

25 BY MR. ANDERSON:

```
Do you have another slide for us, sir?
1
 2
              So this slide has shown that --
               MR. THOMAS: Excuse me, there's no question
 3
 4
    pending, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 6
               MR. THOMAS:
                             There was no question pending.
               MR. ANDERSON: Do you have another slide?
 7
               THE COURT:
                            The question is do you have another
 8
 9
    slide for us.
10
               MR. THOMAS: And then he began to --
11
               THE WITNESS:
                              Sorry. Yes.
12
               THE COURT: The answer is yes, that he's got
    another slide.
13
14
           Mr. Anderson?
    BY MR. ANDERSON:
15
16
       Do you have a slide that was from Carolyn Lewis?
17
    Α.
        Yes.
18
        Okay. And do you have something that you believe will
    be helpful in formulating your opinions as to what
19
20
    happened in the tissue of Carolyn Lewis from the TVT?
21
        Yes.
22
               MR. ANDERSON: May he show it, your Honor?
23
               MR. THOMAS: Objection. Foundation, your
24
    Honor.
```

THE COURT: I will allow it.

- 1 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 2 | Q. What are we looking at here, Doctor?
- 3 | A. One test performed is whether their shrinkage results
- 4 | in a folding of the meshes, in a contraction of the mesh
- 5 | material.
- 6 And what we see in this cross-cutting, that you have
- 7 | several mesh areas or filament areas here which showed
- 8 | that you have this folding there. It cannot be -- or I
- 9 cannot imagine any flat mesh area so that you, in a cross-
- 10 | cutting, see the meshes in the orientation as it is seen
- 11 here.
- So, this slide clearly shows that you have this
- 13 | folding and this deformation of the mesh structure.
- 14 | O. And in your work as a hernia surgeon, has mesh
- 15 | shrinkage like this -- have you seen this in explanted
- 16 | histopathology slides?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And what does that mean for your hernia patients when
- 19 | you see this in their tissue? What complications are we
- 20 | referring to, Doctor?
- 21 | A. The complication of this folding depends on the
- 22 | location where it was -- where the mesh has been
- 23 | implanted. But you have an increased risk for pain and
- 24 | you have an increased risk for erosion of adjacent organs.
- 25 | Q. Do you have any slides with you today that would show

- any of these entrapped nerves that are from Ms. Lewis' 1 2 explant? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. Would you please show those to us? 5 Α. Yes. May I please --6 Ο. Yes. What you see on this image, as well, is here you have 7 this blue marked foreign body. This is a particle. 8 9 see this blue is the polymer which has not been removed by the cutting procession, and you see that there is already 10 11 a tissue reaction around. And these is a typical example of these particles which result from the cutting of the 12 13 mesh during the manufacturing. 14 THE COURT: How can you tell it's a particle instead of a cross-section of a fiber? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Because of these triple -these -- the form of this one. When you have a cross-17 18 cutting of the fiber, you usually have an ellipsoid or a 19 spherical conformation.
  - But these -- these parts -- the only explanation or the most likely explanation is that this is one of the loose ends that occurs when you cut a mesh out of a large piece of mesh.
- THE COURT: I also marked on your slide. The jury saw my mark. Pay no attention to it.

20

21

22

- Oh, I'm sorry, I cleared it. 1 THE CLERK: That's all right. That's okay. 2 THE COURT: 3 can put any new marks on it he wants. 4 THE CLERK: Okay. 5 THE COURT: Mr. Anderson. 6 MR. ANDERSON: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: 7 I was asking if you had any slides with you today 8 9 showing any entrapped nerves that you mentioned or scar 10 tissues surrounding nerves in Mrs. Lewis explant? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Can you show those to the jury? 13 Your Honor, may I have a MR. THOMAS: 14 continuing objection to this line of questioning on the basis of foundation? 15 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 MR. THOMAS: Thank you, your Honor. BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 18
- Doctor, before we get started, are there particular 19
- 20 different types of stains that would help the pathologist
- 21 or the histopathologist be able to identify nerves on
- 22 human explants?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 And what types of stains would those be?
- 25 There are various proteins available that marks

- 1 different parts of the nerves. What we used here is the
- 2 | so-called S-100 staining that is -- that shows nerve-like
- 3 | structures there. But there are others, as well.
- 4 | Q. Okay. Can you please tell the jury what we're seeing
- 5 here on this S-100 staining from Ms. Lewis' explant?
- 6 A. As you see here, this one is the area where the mesh
- 7 | fiber has been located. And this brown area here, these
- 8 | are -- this is tissue that appears to be a small nerve.
- 9 And this is very, very small. You have here a
- 10 | magnification of 40. So, this is about 10 to 20 microns.
- 11 | Very, very small nerves. And no surgeon is able to see
- 12 | these nerves.
- And as you remember, all this area is transformed
- 14 | into scar tissue, and these nerves are within the middle
- 15 of this scar tissue.
- 16 So, when you have the contraction of the scar
- 17 | tissue, then this can result in irritation of these nerve
- 18 | structures and cause chronic pain.
- 19 | O. In your work as a hernia surgeon, did you have
- 20 occasion to treat patients who had S-100 stainings that
- 21 | appear the way this one does on the screen, sir?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. Okay. And what did that mean for patient
- 24 | complications in your hernia patients when they had an
- 25 | S-100 that had entrapped nerves like we see here?

- 1 A. This is usually seen in patients where we remove the
- 2 | meshes because of pain. And we have to remove the
- 3 | meshes. And when we looked afterwards, made these tissue
- 4 | analysis, we usually find this very closed neighborhood of
- 5 | nerves and meshes and where the nerves are entrapped into
- 6 | the scar tissue.
- 7 | Q. In your training, even going back as early as medical
- 8 | school, do you have any knowledge, sir, as to whether or
- 9 | not these types of S-100 stainings would show entrapped
- 10 | nerves in scar tissue anywhere in the body that there is
- 11 | pain?
- 12 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, he's leading.
- THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure what you're
- 14 | objecting to, but I'm simply going to tell the jury the
- 15 | fact that something occurs at the same time as something
- 16 | else doesn't mean it was caused by the something else.
- 17 | And in order for an expert witness to say so, they must
- 18 | hold that opinion based on sufficient expertise or say
- 19 | that it is to a reasonable degree of medical certainty,
- 20 and be qualified to do so in their field.
- 21 I've allowed all of this testimony so far because I
- 22 | thought it was helpful to you.
- 23 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 24 | O. Do you have an opinion as to whether or not these
- 25 | entrapped nerves in this slide indicate -- would indicate

- 1 | chronic pain for Ms. Lewis?
- 2 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor.
- 3 | Foundation.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.
- 5 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 6 Q. Are entrapped nerves like this often related to pain
- 7 | in your hernia patients?
- 8 A. Yes, we often find this relation.
- 9 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, I'd move to strike the
- 10 | last answer.
- 11 THE COURT: The motion to strike is granted.
- 12 | The witness' prior testimony was that when the hernia
- 13 meshes were removed, they often found, upon examination,
- 14 | slides that showed similar artifacts.
- 15 He did not opine that those artifacts, as found, if
- 16 | I'm using the right word, were causally related to any
- 17 | pain that his hernia patients suffered.
- 18 | So the objection is sustained, and the explanation
- 19 | was much too long. Sorry.
- 20 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 21 | Q. Are these artifacts that you see on the screen here
- 22 | related to pain in your hernia patients?
- 23 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor. It's not a
- 24 | hernia patient. And foundation. He's not qualified to
- 25 give that opinion.

- 1 MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw the question, your
- 2 Honor.
- 3 | THE COURT: All right.
- 4 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 5 | Q. Do you have any other slides that would show bridging
- 6 | fibroses, mesh shrinkage or entrapped nerves from
- 7 Ms. Lewis's explant samples?
- 8 | A. I ever two or three others which show the scar
- 9 | formation --
- 10 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, the answer is yes or
- 11 | no, as opposed to his explanation.
- 12 THE COURT: I'll overrule it just in the
- 13 | interests of economy.
- 14 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 15 | Q. Do you have any other slides that would show either
- 16 | bridging fibrosis, scar plate formation or mesh shrinkage
- 17 | from Ms. Lewis?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Do you think those would be helpful to the jury in
- 20 | formulating your opinions?
- 21 | A. They look almost similar to the one before, so it's
- 22 | always similar appearing, the way you have these closed
- 23 | distance.
- 24 | Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of
- 25 | medical certainty as to whether or not the images that

- we've seen on the screen today would relate to any 1 2 complications of pain in Ms. Lewis? 3 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor. 4 Foundation. It's not his area of expertise. 5 THE COURT: With the caution, ladies and 6 gentlemen, that I previously gave you, I will allow the 7 answer. BY MR. ANDERSON: 8 9 Do you have an opinion, first of all, sir? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And what is that opinion? The opinion is that the excessive scar formation that 12 13 we have found to be the major cause of many complications, 14 that this is found in these samples in this case, as well. We saw the scar formation, the deformation, the 15 16 folding, the particle loss. So, all these things that we found were the 17 18 disadvantages of over-engineered meshes. In animals' --19 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, going far beyond the 20 question that was asked. 21 THE COURT: Well, and also --22 MR. THOMAS: I move to strike it. 23 THE COURT: I sustain the objection. 24 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 25 | Q. I asked you if you have an opinion in that regard.

- 1 What is your opinion with regard to the slides which
- 2 | you've seen here with regard to -- strike that. Let me
- 3 | see if I can ask it again.
- 4 Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of
- 5 | scientific certainty as to whether or not the slides that
- 6 | we've just seen, the S-100 slides, and the H&E slides
- 7 | regarding nerve entrapment, mesh shrinkage and bridging
- 8 | fibrosis, as to whether or not those would lead to chronic
- 9 pain in Ms. Lewis?
- 10 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: I overrule the objection.
- 12 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 13 | Q. Do you have an opinion?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And what is the opinion?
- 16 | A. The opinion is that these findings can very good
- 17 | explain the manifestation of pain.
- 18 | THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection and
- 19 | strike the answer. The jury will disregard.
- 20 MR. ANDERSON: No further questions.
- 21 THE COURT: Can explain -- can explain is not
- 22 | the --
- 23 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 24 Q. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable degree of
- 25 | scientific certainty as to whether or not --

```
I've got your objection.
1
                THE COURT:
 2
                MR. ANDERSON: No further questions.
 3
                THE COURT:
                            Okay, cross-exam.
 4
                MR. THOMAS:
                             I'm going to be to be a little
 5
    while, your Honor.
 6
                THE COURT:
                            What?
                             I'm going to be a little while.
 7
                MR. THOMAS:
                            Well, take four minutes of it now.
 8
                THE COURT:
 9
                             I'll do that, your Honor.
                MR. THOMAS:
                                                         I'll do
10
    that.
11
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
    BY MR. THOMAS:
12
        Good afternoon, Dr. Klinge. The slides that you've
13
14
    just been talking to the jury about, you didn't prepare
    those slides, did you?
15
16
    Α.
        No.
       As a matter of fact, those slides were prepared by
17
18
    another pathologist, weren't they?
19
    Α.
        Yes.
20
        They were prepared by a Dr. Kreitzer from Connecticut;
21
    is that correct?
22
    A. Yes.
23
       And Dr. Kreitzer is a board-certified pathologist in
24
    Connecticut, isn't he?
25
```

Α.

Yes.

- 1 | Q. And you've never met Dr. Kreitzer?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 | Q. You've never spoken to him, have you?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 | Q. You have not had any communications at all with
- 6 Dr. Kreitzer about the slides that you discussed with the
- 7 | jury that he prepared, which you just discussed. Fair?
- 8 A. Sir, will you --
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. I'll try again. You have not had any
- 10 communications at all with Dr. Kreitzer about the slides
- 11 | which you just discussed with the jury?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 Q. Now, You don't have --
- 14 | A. That is not correct.
- 15 Q. Oh, you have spoken with Dr. Kreitzer?
- 16 A. No. But it's a communication. I got a -- a paper
- 17 | from him where he clearly documentated which slides he
- 18 | prepared with -- with his technique.
- 19 | O. Okay. But it was only identifying the slides you
- 20 | received and the technique he performed; correct?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: Let me ask the jury just a second
- 23 | and interrupt you. I apologize.
- 24 | Since you're staying in town, would you like to
- 25 | finish this witness today? It will probably take another

- 1 | half-hour. If you wouldn't, I certainly understand.
- 2 All right, let's finish the witness.
- MR. THOMAS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 4 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 5 Q. You're not a pathologist; correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. You don't have a residency in pathology; correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You don't have a fellowship in pathology; correct?
- 10 | A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. You're not board-certified in pathology?
- 12 | A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. You've never been a reviewer or an editor of a
- 14 | pathology journal, have you?
- 15 | A. That is not correct. I have been a reviewer of a
- 16 | histopathology journal.
- 17 | Q. Could I have your deposition, please -- of Lewis
- 18 deposition, page 562, line 24. Lines 22 to 24.
- 19 Now, I came to Germany and took your deposition, and
- 20 | I asked you a question: "Have you ever been an editor or
- 21 | a reviewer of a pathology journal?"
- 22 You answered no at that time?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Is that the answer you gave then?
- 25 A. I have to correct it.

- 1 Q. Go ahead.
- 2 | A. There is one journal from a Spanish editor who asked
- 3 | me to make a review of some manuscripts that are sent to
- 4 him. So.
- 5 Q. So, the answer that you gave to me at your deposition
- 6 | was incorrect; is that fair?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Okay. Now, you work at a hospital?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you used to be a surgeon; correct? Excuse me, you
- 11 | used to operate on patients; correct?
- 12 A. I have -- have been operating patients, yeah.
- 13 | Q. But you haven't performed any surgeries since 2006;
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 | Q. And there are pathologists at the hospital where you
- 17 | work, aren't there?
- 18 A. There is a few, yes.
- 19 | Q. And at the hospital where you work, you were not
- 20 | permitted to sign pathology reports; correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 | MR. THOMAS: May I approach, your Honor?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 24 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 25 | Q. Dr. Klinge, I've handed what has been marked as Joint

- 1 | Exhibit 10020A.263.
- THE COURT: Did you get all that, Robin?
- THE CLERK: No.
- 4 MR. THOMAS: Joint Exhibit 10020A.263. And I
- 5 think this is a stipulated medical record, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: All right.
- 7 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 8 Q. Do you have that in front of you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And this is the pathology report from the hospital
- 11 | where Ms. Lewis had her mesh explanted; correct?
- 12 A. Yeah, that is correct.
- 13 | Q. And a pathologist, a real pathologist, looked at the
- 14 | pathology upon the explant and prepared this report?
- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16  $\mid$  Q. And it's true that there are none of the findings in
- 17 | this report that you've told this jury about today?
- 18 A. That is true.
- MR. THOMAS: Judge, give me just a second. I
- 20 | thought I was going to be hear for four minutes and now
- 21 | I'm going to be here for 30.
- 22 (Pause.)
- 23 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 24 | Q. Now, Dr. Klinge, you've actually used mesh to treat
- 25 | hernia patients, haven't you?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. And the use of mesh to repair hernias is an important
- 3 | option for hernia patients, isn't it?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And polypropylene mesh is the most widely used
- 6 | material for hernia repairs; isn't that true?
- $7 \mid A$ . That is true.
- 8 | Q. And mesh implants made of polypropylene monofilament
- 9 | fiber have been used in the human body since 1963?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And Prolene mesh is a polypropylene monofilament
- 12 | fiber; correct?
- 13 A. It's double filament, would be more accurate.
- 14 | O. You say it's not a monofilament fiber?
- 15 | A. Yeah, it's a monofilament, but it's two. It's always
- 16 | two fibers running close together. So, in some
- 17 | manuscripts it's called double filaments.
- 18 | Q. Now, there are two ways to repair hernias in terms of
- 19 mesh and native tissue repair. You understand that, don't
- 20 | you?
- 21 | A. Very well.
- 22 | Q. And native tissue repair you use sutures to put the
- 23 | hernia back into place?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And sutures is the same thing as stitches; correct?

- 1 | What we call stitches here in the United States?
- 2 A. I have to -- I believe it.
- 3 Q. All right. And you can use Prolene sutures to repair
- 4 | a hernia, just like you can use Prolene mesh to repair a
- 5 | hernia; correct?
- 6 A. In some patients.
- 7 Q. Yes. And you've performed about 200 native tissue
- 8 | repairs?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you've performed about 300 hernia repairs using
- 11 | mesh; correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And you've placed Prolene mesh for hernia repair about
- 14 | five times?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And when you were doing hernia surgery, you knew there
- 17 | were risks to that hernia surgery; true?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | O. And when you used polypropylene mesh for hernia repair
- 20 | for your patients, you believed that the benefits
- 21 | outweighed the risks to those patients; correct?
- 22 MR. ANDERSON: Objection, your Honor. May we
- 23 | approach?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes.
- 25 | SIDEBAR CONFERENCE:

THE COURT: All right, sir.

MR. ANDERSON: Because of your Honor's ruling at the beginning of this, I changed my entire presentation and outline because I removed everything that would be cumulative from Dr. Klosterhalfen's deposition, because your Honor instructed me that I had to do that. So, I significantly altered my entire presentation and just talked about pathology.

I specifically did not go into his hernia work. I did not go into light-weight, large-pore. I did not go into those areas that Mr. Thomas listed on his sheet that would be cumulative. And now Mr. Thomas is seeking to go into all those areas and bring them in, even though he didn't want me to talk about. So I think it's patently unfair. We talked about pathology.

THE COURT: Well, the record will reflect what I ruled with regard to the testimony, but you did explore with him the basis for his opinions, which, to a large extent, were based upon his history performing hernia surgeries.

And as to the opinions he offered, he based his opinions, to a large extent, if I'm not mistaken, on his experience in doing hernia surgeries and hernia explants.

And that was true even as to his opinions regarding

Mrs. Lewis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Now, I grant you, I'm not keen on spending a lot of
time talking about hernias. I wasn't when you were doing
    I'm not now. But it is fair for him to cross-examine
it.
this witness to emphasize to the jury that he believes
that a hernia surgeon, which is what he's trying to do,
doesn't know what he's talking about when he's dealing
with this other kind of mesh.
      It's all -- the chess pieces are moving very nicely.
You guys are good. I overrule your objection. But -- but
don't --
           MR. THOMAS: I'm not going to abuse it, your
Honor.
           MR. ANDERSON: He's getting into patient
selection, he's getting into risk-benefit analysis, and I
didn't discuss any of those things.
                       Well, I'm going to allow this --
           THE COURT:
I'm going to allow the pending question.
END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE.
           THE COURT: There's a question pending. Do you
want it read or do you remember it?
                        I need it read, your Honor.
           MR. THOMAS:
           THE COURT: All right.
                            And when you used
           COURT REPORTER:
polypropylene mesh for hernia repair for your patients,
you believed that the benefits outweighed the risks to
```

- 1 | those patients; correct?
- 2 A. Yes, but it changed over time, significantly.
- 3 Q. Now -- thank you. Just so the record is clear, you've
- 4 | never performed surgery for the repair of stress urinary
- 5 | incontinence; true?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 | Q. And you've not studied the rates of complications
- 8 associated with the use of mesh for the treatment of
- 9 | stress urinary incontinence?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 | Q. And you are unaware of any clinical studies that show
- 12 | the use of Prolene mesh increases the risk of injury to a
- 13 | patient in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence
- 14 | over a larger pore, light-weight mesh; true.
- 15 A. This is true, but impossible.
- 16 | Q. You've talked a little bit about your collection of
- 17 | hernia explants. You do maintain a collection of hernia
- 18 | explants at the university; correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And you had about 600 when we talked last?
- 21 A. Yes.
- $22 \mid Q$ . And you have not attempted to collect any mesh
- 23 | explants from patients with pelvic organ prolapse or
- 24 | stress urinary incontinence; true?
- 25 A. That's true.

- 1 | Q. Now, none of your work for the period -- strike that.
- 2 | I'll withdraw that.
- Now, when you performed hernia surgery, you
- 4 understood that mesh could shrink or contract, didn't you?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And contraction is a risk of any hernia surgery. Do
- 7 | you agree with that?
- 8 A. That is true in principle, but not in quantity.
- 9 Q. But -- and you do not know the rate of complications
- 10 | from contracture or shrinkage in the placement of mesh
- 11 | with the treatment of stress urinary incontinence; true?
- 12 | A. We know that it causes complications.
- 13 | Q. No, excuse me, I asked you a question, a very specific
- 14 | question, Doctor.
- 15 You do not know the rate of complications from
- 16 | contracture or shrinkage in the placement of mesh for the
- 17 | treatment of stress urinary incontinence?
- 18 A. It's not possible, so, therefore, yes.
- 19 | O. Therefore, no, you don't know?
- 20 A. Yes, you are right. No, I don't.
- 21 Q. Thank you. That helps me.
- 22 You're not aware of any literature testing the
- 23 | impact of particle loss in vivo for an Ethicon mesh used
- 24 | for the treatment of stress urinary incontinence; true?
- 25 | A. True.

- 1 | Q. Now, You said you've implanted mesh about 300 times in
- 2 | hernia surgery. And when you used the mesh for the
- 3 | treatment of hernias, you usually trim the mesh; correct?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And you use scissors to trim the mesh to size it so
- 6 | that you can place it in the hernia; correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And when you trim the mesh, particles come off of the
- 9 | mesh?
- 10 A. But it varies from the mesh.
- 11 | Q. And there are about 20 million hernia surgeries each
- 12 | year?
- 13 | A. There are 20 million mesh implantations. That is the
- 14 | last estimate. That's not all only hernia.
- 15 | Q. And for a large number of those you would expect the
- 16 | surgeons to be trimming that mesh before they implant it,
- 17 | wouldn't you?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And in the 20 years of mesh research that you've done,
- 20 | you've never studied the clinical effects of particle loss
- 21 | from mesh; true?
- 22 | A. It's possible, yes.
- 23 | Q. And you've never made a systematic analysis to measure
- 24 | the extent to which Ethicon meshes used in the treatment
- 25 of stress urinary incontinence shed particles in the human

- 1 | body; true?
- 2 | A. True.
- MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 4 | witness?
- 5 THE COURT: You may.
- 6 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 7 Q. Dr. Klinge, I've handed you a book. The book is a
- 8 | 2010 book called Hernia Repair Sequellae. Do you
- 9 recognize that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | O. Published in 2010?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And the first author is Volker Schumpelick?
- 14 A. He's the editor.
- 15 Q. Editor. And he was your boss at Aachen, is that
- 16 | right, the head of the department?
- 17 | A. At that time, yes.
- 18 | Q. And would you turn to page 439? I've marked it for
- 19 | you.
- 20 MR. THOMAS: And, Jamie, it's Defendant's
- 21 | Exhibits 30719, and the page is .3.
- 22 | Q. And the book chapter is Alloplastic Implants for the
- 23 | Treatment of Stress Urinary Incontinence and Pelvic Organ
- 24 | Prolapse. Do you see that?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And you're one of the authors of that chapter of the
- 2 | book?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 MR. THOMAS: Jamie, if you would go to the next
- 5 page, which is .4.
- 6 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 7 Q. And if you go to the right column, it says, "Mesh used
- 8 | in the treatment of stress urinary incontinence." And if
- 9 | you go down to the second full paragraph --
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. -- let's read that together. It says, "At present,
- 12 | the gold standard in SUI surgery is the suburethral sling,
- 13 using either the tension-free vaginal tape with the
- 14 | tension-free" -- excuse me. Let me start over again.
- 15 | "At present, the gold standard in SUI surgery is the
- 16 | suburethral sling, using either the tension-free vaginal
- 17 | tape, TVT" -- that's the Ethicon Dynacare product, isn't
- 18 | it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. -- "or the transobturator tape, TOT technique. The
- 21 | two procedures do not seem to differ in terms of efficacy,
- 22 | with TOT being advantageous because of the lower rate of
- 23 | bladder injuries."
- And you wrote that in 2010; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. Now, the jury has heard a little bit about a company
- 2 | known as FEG. You have done research for FEG since 1994,
- 3 | haven't you?
- 4 THE COURT: That's what he said on direct. Go
- 5 | ahead.
- 6 MR. THOMAS: With FEG? I'm sorry?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. THOMAS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 10 | Q. And you helped FEG develop PVDF mesh, didn't you?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And FEG has a patent on the PVDF mesh?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | O. And you were named on that patent; correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. You've been a paid consultant for FEG since 1998 or
- 17 | 1999; true?
- 18 A. No, that's not true.
- 19 | Q. You've received 20,000 euros or deutchmarks per year
- 20 | from 2000 to 2005; is that true?
- $21 \mid A$ . That is -- from FEG? This is not true. 2000 to 2005,
- 22 | that is -- that has been -- that was a contract with
- 23 | Ethicon.
- 24 MR. THOMAS: Jamie, could you bring up the
- 25 gross trial transcripts, 103, 6 to 12, please?

- 1 (Pause.)
- 2 BY MR. THOMAS:
- 3 | Q. I'm sorry. You're right. I apologize. That's my
- 4 mistake.
- 5 Today you're compensated by FEG; is that true?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. They pay you about 30,000 euros a year?
- 8 A. Approximately, yes.
- 9 Q. And that's about a little less than half of your
- 10 | annual salary at the university?
- 11 | A. That's less.
- 12 | Q. And FEG decides how much money it's going to pay you
- 13 | each year; is that true?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And the amount FEG pays you each year depends on how
- 16 | well the company does that year; true?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And you've spoken at conferences sponsored solely by
- 19 | FEG; true?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you you've spoken recently at conferences for FEG
- 22 | promoting FEG meshes; true?
- 23 A. Promote? Maybe.
- 24 | O. Pardon me?
- 25 A. Maybe.

- 1 | Q. Maybe. Well, you've worked with Prof. Jaeger in
- 2 developing a new PVDF mesh for the treatment of stress
- 3 | urinary incontinence, didn't you?
- 4 | A. It was a development of a new procedure using a
- 5 | specifically developed device; but it was the presentation
- 6 of a procedure.
- 7 Q. And you worked on the Jaeger device at the request of
- 8 | FEG, didn't you?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And you didn't charge FEG for the time you spent
- 11 | working on that, did you?
- 12 | A. No.
- 13 | O. You have had occasion to work with both FEG and
- 14 | Dr. Klosterhalfen on different products; correct?
- 15 A. I didn't get the whole sentence.
- 16 | Q. I'm sorry, you've had occasion to work with FEG and
- 17 | Dr. Klosterhalfen with different projects; is that true?
- 18 | A. I have occasion?
- 19 | Q. You've done it on different times? Have you worked
- 20 | with FEG and Dr. Klosterhalfen on different projects over
- 21 | the years?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | O. And you also worked with FEG and Prof. Klosterhalfen
- 24 | in the preparation of a video; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And you used that video presentation in your slide
- 2 | shows sometimes?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | O. And you've --
- 5 A. It's free. And it's free on the Internet. Everyone
- 6 | can do it.
- 7 Q. And FEG paid for that?
- 8 A. They paid for the manufacturing of this video, yes.
- 9 Q. And to this day you are and continue to be a
- 10 | consultant to FEG; true?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 14 MR. THOMAS: That's all the questions I have.
- 15 | Thank you, Doctor.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 17 | THE COURT: All right. Mr. Anderson?
- 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 20 | Q. Dr. Klinge, in the article that counsel mentioned to
- 21 | you about alloplastic materials with Dr. Schussler, do you
- 22 | recall that part of your testimony?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What is Dr. Schussler's profession?
- 25 A. Dr. Schussler is the head gynecologist in Lucerne, in

- 1 | Switzerland.
- 2 | Q. And in that article, were you responsible for part of
- 3 | the article and was he responsible for a different part?
- 4 A. He's responsible for the evaluation of the surgical
- 5 | technique and I was introduced in this team of authors
- 6 | because of my experience with the materials.
- 7 | Q. And, in 2010, the mechanically cut mesh was no longer
- 8 on the market, was it, sir?
- 9 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor. It's not
- 10 only beyond the scope of anything, it's just not true.
- 11 THE COURT: I don't know -- I mean, let me see
- 12 | the book. I don't -- let me see what the quote said.
- 13 | Could I see the book.
- 14 Thanks, Doctor.
- 15 I don't see anything in here about whether it was
- 16 | machine cut or hand cut, or whatever.
- 17 MR. ANDERSON: And that's my point. From that
- 18 | article, we can't tell whether or not the slings that
- 19 Dr. Schussler's talking about were the mechanical cut
- 20 meshes or were the laser cut meshes.
- 21 THE WITNESS: From my understanding, this -- he
- 22 | described the technique of placing a tape there, but this
- 23 | is not specific for a product. And on my discussions with
- 24 | Prof. Schussler we used the term TVT as an example for a
- 25 | procedure.

- 1 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor --
- THE COURT: The jury will disregard the
- 3 | elaboration and ignore the last part of the statement.
- 4 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 5 | Q. Counsel showed you the pathology report from Ms. Lewis
- 6 on cross-examination. Do you recall that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Is it typical for pathology departments at hospitals
- 9 to report on things like bridging fibrosis?
- 10 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 | Foundation. He's not a pathologist in a hospital.
- MR. ANDERSON: I'll withdraw the guestion.
- 13 | THE COURT: All right.
- 14 BY MR. ANDERSON:
- 15 | Q. As part of being a hernia surgeon at Aachen University
- 16 | and Aachen University Hospitals in Aachen -- strike that.
- 17 | How large is Aachen University Hospital?
- 18 A. It has about 1,500 beds in hospital.
- 19 | Q. And while you were practicing as a hernia surgeon
- 20 | there, did you receive explant reports back -- I'm sorry,
- 21 | pathology reports back from individuals who had had mesh
- 22 | explanted from them?
- 23 | A. Yes, we would teach with it.
- 24 | Q. And did the pathologists in your pathology department
- 25 | typically respond and report on things like tissue

response, bridging fibrosis and scar plate formation? 1 2 MR. THOMAS: Objection, your Honor. 3 Foundation. Didn't have anything to do with the Carolyn 4 Lewis case. Didn't have anything with the pathology 5 report from Texas. 6 THE COURT: I'll allow him to answer the question, but don't go any further. 7 You may answer. 8 9 BY MR. ANDERSON: 10 Q. You may answer. 11 This is the general statement of pathologists, who are not interested in the specific details to cause to write 12 13 the tissue response. 14 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor? A. And that is what I've seen. 15 16 THE COURT: If I understood his answer, which I think I did, he said the pathologists aren't interested in 17 18 those specific details? 19 That's right. MR. ANDERSON: 20 THE COURT: Yes. 21 MR. ANDERSON: No further questions. 22 THE COURT: All right. Anything else? 23 Nothing, your Honor. MR. THOMAS: 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, very much,

Doctor, you may step down. May the witness be excused?

Yes, your Honor. 1 MR. ANDERSON: 2 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 3 we've come to the end of the day. I really hope you have a nice meal and a nice time. 4 5 This is difficult. It's compounded by difficult 6 weather. It is a duty of citizenship. And I hope, at the end, you will say, as most jurors in federal court say, 7 I'm glad I did it, but I don't want to ever do it again. 8 9 Please have a pleasant evening. Do not discuss the Watch TV, listen to the radio, read the newspapers. 10 11 Do not talk to anybody about the case. Do not read social media that might involve any discussion of the case. 12 13 Don't communicate with anybody by any electronic or other 14 means concerning the case. I feel like some kind of -- one of those recordings, 15 because I say it over and over again. But it is so 16 17 important that it bears repeating. You only can 18 deliberate on this case when you're back in the jury room 19 at the end of all of it, and all 12 of you can then start 20 at the same time talking about it. 21 And you know that's worked very well for well over 200 years, and I think it will work well in this case. 22 23 Have a pleasant evening. I'll see you at 9 o'clock. 24 Court is in recess, adjourned for the day, whatever. 25 (Proceedings concluded at 5:30 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTERS Teresa M. Ruffner and Harold M. Hagopian do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the best of our abilities, from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/Teresa M. Ruffner February 13, 2014 Reporter Date s/Harold M. Hagopian February 13, 2014 Reporter Date